

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Hanford Site Location	1-2
1.2	Hanford Site History and Mission.....	1-5
1.3	Primary Operations and Activities.....	1-6
1.3.1	100 Area.....	1-6
1.3.2	200 Areas	1-7
1.3.3	300 Area.....	1-9
1.3.4	400 Area.....	1-9
1.3.5	600 Area.....	1-9
1.3.6	1100 Area.....	1-10
1.3.7	3000 Area.....	1-10
1.3.8	Richland North Area (Offsite).....	1-10
1.3.9	700 Area (Offsite).....	1-10
1.3.10	Volpentest Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response Federal Training Center.....	1-10
1.3.11	Hanford Tank Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant.....	1-11
1.3.12	Non-DOE Operations and Activities on Hanford Site-Leased Land.....	1-12
1.3.13	Non-DOE Nuclear Operations	1-12
1.3.14	Hanford Reach National Monument	1-12
1.3.15	Manhattan Project National Historical Park.....	1-13
1.4	Hanford Site Management.....	1-13
1.5	Fire Protection and Management	1-15
1.6	Climate and Meteorology.....	1-16
1.6.1	Historical Climatological Information	1-18
1.6.2	Meteorological Monitoring.....	1-18
1.7	Stakeholder Involvement.....	1-19
1.7.1	Role of Native American Tribes	1-19
1.7.2	Cultural and Historic Resource Consultations	1-22
1.7.3	Hanford Natural Resource Trustee Council.....	1-22
1.7.4	Public Involvement in Hanford Site Decisions	1-24
1.7.5	State of Oregon	1-26
1.7.6	Hanford Advisory Board	1-26
1.8	Hanford Site Regulatory Oversight.....	1-26
1.8.1	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order.....	1-27
1.8.2	Defense Nuclear Facility Safety Board	1-28
1.9	References.....	1-28
2.0	COMPLIANCE SUMMARY	2-1
2.1	Hazardous Materials and Waste Management.....	2-2

2.1.1	Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992	2-2
2.1.2	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976.....	2-2
2.1.3	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.....	2-5
2.1.4	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986	2-9
2.1.5	Environmental Release Reporting.....	2-12
2.1.6	Toxic Substances Control Act	2-12
2.1.7	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.....	2-14
2.2	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.....	2-14
2.2.1	Hanford Site Environmental Impact Statements.....	2-14
2.2.2	Hanford Site Environmental Assessments.	2-14
2.2.3	Hanford Site Categorical Exclusions.....	2-15
2.3	Radiation Protection Statutes, Regulations, and Directives.....	2-15
2.3.1	Atomic Energy Act of 1954	2-16
2.3.2	DOE O 458.1, Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment	2-16
2.3.3	DOE O 435.1, Radioactive Waste Management.....	2-18
2.4	Air Quality Statutes and Regulations	2-19
2.4.1	Clean Air Act	2-19
2.4.2	Air Permits Required by Regulations	2-19
2.5	Water Quality Permits, Statutes, and Regulations	2-20
2.5.1	Federal Permit – Discharges to Columbia River	2-20
2.5.2	State Waste Discharge Permit – Discharges to the Soil Column/Groundwater	2-21
2.5.3	Local Discharge Permit – Discharges to the City of Richland Sewer	2-21
2.5.4	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974.....	2-21
2.5.5	Surface Water Standards.....	2-23
2.6	Natural and Cultural Resources	2-23
2.6.1	Ecological Compliance	2-23
2.6.2	Cultural Resource Compliance.....	2-25
2.7	Sustainability.....	2-26
2.7.1	Chemical Management Systems.....	2-26
2.7.2	Pollution Prevention Program.....	2-26
2.7.3	DOE O 436.1, Departmental Sustainability	2-28
2.8	Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information	2-29
2.8.1	High-Level Report	2-29
2.8.2	Low-Level Report.....	2-29
2.8.3	Informational Level Report.....	2-29
2.9	Environmental Permits.....	2-30
2.10	Environmental Enforcement Actions	2-32
2.10.1	Enforcement Actions by Regulatory Program Area.....	2-33
2.10.2	Wastewater Permit Deviations.....	2-35

2.11	References.....	2-37
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	3-1
3.1	Environmental Performance Measures.....	3-3
3.1.1	Fleet Management.....	3-4
3.1.2	Alternative Fuel Use	3-4
3.1.3	Potable and Non-potable Water Use.....	3-5
3.1.4	Electricity Use.....	3-5
3.1.5	Facility Fuel Use	3-5
3.1.6	Facility Energy Use	3-7
3.1.7	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool	3-7
3.1.8	Sanitary Waste Reduction	3-7
3.1.9	Regulated Waste Reduction.....	3-8
3.2	Hanford Site Awards and Recognition.....	3-9
3.2.1	HPMC Occupational Medical Services	3-9
3.2.2	CH2M Plateau Remediation Company.....	3-10
3.2.3	Mission Support Alliance, LLC.....	3-10
3.2.4	Washington River Protection Solutions, LLC	3-10
3.2.5	Veolia Nuclear Solutions Federal Services	3-11
3.3	References.....	3-11
4.0	RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION AND DOSES	4-1
4.1	External Radiation Monitoring.....	4-1
4.1.1	External Radiation Measurements.....	4-2
4.1.2	Waste Disposal Sites Radiological Surveys.....	4-5
4.2	Potential Radiological Doses	4-6
4.2.1	Maximally Exposed Individual Dose (Offsite Resident)	4-8
4.2.2	Collective Dose	4-15
4.2.3	Compliance with <i>Clean Air Act</i> Standards.....	4-18
4.2.4	Special Case Dose Estimates	4-20
4.2.5	Doses from Non-U.S. Department of Energy Sources.....	4-23
4.2.6	Dose to Non-Human Biota.....	4-23
4.2.7	Radiological Dose in Perspective	4-27
4.3	Radiological Clearance of Hanford Site Property	4-30
4.3.1	Personal Property	4-31
4.3.2	Real Property	4-32
4.3.3	Granular-Activated Carbon for Offsite Shipment and Regeneration	4-32
4.4	References.....	4-33
5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT	5-3
5.1	Site Remediation.....	5-3
5.1.1	River Corridor.....	5-3

5.1.2	Central Plateau.....	5-9
5.1.3	Groundwater Remediation Support	5-18
5.2	Waste Management Activities.....	5-21
5.2.1	Tank Farms	5-24
5.2.2	Single-Shell Tank Closure and Interim Measures Program	5-33
5.2.3	Central Waste Complex.....	5-35
5.2.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility	5-36
5.2.5	T-Plant Complex.....	5-37
5.2.6	Canister Storage Building	5-38
5.2.7	Low-level Burial Grounds	5-38
5.2.8	Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility.....	5-40
5.2.9	Integrated Disposal Facility.....	5-41
5.2.10	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility.....	5-42
5.2.11	200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility.....	5-43
5.2.12	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility.	5-44
5.2.13	200 Area Treated Effluent Disposal Facility.....	5-45
5.2.14	242-A Evaporator.....	5-46
5.2.15	Hanford Tank Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant.....	5-47
5.3	Long-Term Stewardship	5-48
5.4	References.....	5-52
6.0	AIR MONITORING	6-1
6.1	Air Effluent Monitoring	6-1
6.1.1	Radioactive Airborne Emissions.....	6-1
6.1.2	Criteria Air Pollutants	6-4
6.1.3	Hazardous and Toxic Air Pollutants	6-5
6.1.4	Reporting.....	6-6
6.2	Radioactive Air Monitoring.....	6-8
6.2.1	Hanford Site Air Monitoring.....	6-9
6.2.2	Perimeter and Offsite Air Monitoring.....	6-15
6.3	References.....	6-19
7.0	WATER MONITORING.....	7-1
7.1	Drinking Water Systems	7-1
7.1.1	Drinking Water Treatment Facilities.....	7-2
7.1.2	Monitoring.....	7-2
7.1.3	Radiological Results.....	7-4
7.2	Columbia River Surface Water.....	7-7
7.2.1	Monitoring.....	7-11
7.2.2	Radiological Results.....	7-13
7.2.3	Inorganic and Organic Chemical Results	7-17
7.3	Columbia River Sediment	7-19

7.3.1	Monitoring.....	7-20
7.3.2	Radiological Results.....	7-21
7.3.3	Chemical Results.....	7-23
7.4	Columbia River Seep Water	7-24
7.4.1	Seep Water Monitoring.....	7-25
7.4.2	Monitoring Results.....	7-26
7.4.3	Sediment Monitoring.....	7-28
7.5	Pond Water and Sediment.....	7-30
7.5.1	West Lake Water	7-30
7.5.2	West Lake Sediment.....	7-30
7.6	Offsite Irrigation Water.....	7-33
7.6.1	Offsite Irrigation Water Monitoring	7-33
7.6.2	Sample Results	7-33
7.7	Liquid Effluent	7-34
7.7.1	Point Source Discharges.....	7-34
7.7.2	Nonpoint Source Discharges.....	7-37
7.8	References.....	7-40
8.0	GROUNDWATER MONITORING.....	8-1
8.1	River Corridor	8-2
8.2	Central Plateau.....	8-10
8.3	References.....	8-17
9.0	SOIL MONITORING.....	9-1
9.1	Hanford Site Soil Sampling.....	9-2
9.2	Offsite Soil Sampling	9-9
9.3	Radiological Contamination Surveys.....	9-10
9.4	References.....	9-12
10.0	BIOTA MONITORING	10-1
10.1	Agricultural Monitoring.....	10-1
10.1.1	Milk	10-4
10.1.2	Fruit, Vegetables, and Farm Products.....	10-5
10.2	Fish and Wildlife Monitoring	10-5
10.2.1	Mountain Whitefish	10-8
10.2.2	Walleye	10-9
10.2.3	Waterfowl.....	10-11
10.3	Vegetation Monitoring.....	10-12
10.3.1	Hanford Site Vegetation Monitoring	10-12
10.3.2	Offsite Vegetation Sampling	10-17
10.3.3	Radiological Contamination Surveys	10-18
10.3.4	Vegetation Control.....	10-19

10.4	Waste Site Remediation and Revegetation.....	10-20
10.5	References.....	10-20
11.0	RESOURCE PROTECTION	11-2
11.1	Ecological Protection	11-2
	11.1.1 Conservation Habitat Assessment and Mitigation Prioritization	11-3
	11.1.2 Fish and Wildlife Monitoring.....	11-15
	11.1.3 Vegetation and Habitat Monitoring	11-46
11.2	Endangered and Threatened Species.....	11-51
11.3	Cultural and Historic Resource Protection.....	11-54
	11.3.1 Cultural Resources Reviews	11-54
	11.3.2 Cultural Resources Protections and Section 110 Activities	11-56
11.4	Collection Management and Curation.....	11-58
11.5	References.....	11-61
12.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE	12-1
12.1	Program Management.....	12-1
	12.1.1 Personnel Training and Qualifications	12-2
12.2	Sample Collection Quality Assurance and Quality Control.....	12-3
12.3	Quality Control Samples	12-3
12.4	Media Audits and Comparisons	12-11
12.5	Laboratory Quality Assurance Programs	12-12
	12.5.1 Laboratory Performance Evaluation and Proficiency Testing.....	12-14
12.6	Data Recording and Data Management	12-15
12.7	References.....	12-15

Appendices

A	GLOSSARY.....	A-1
B	BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	B-1
C	ADDITIONAL MONITORING RESULTS.....	C-1
D	RADIATION DOSE CALCULATIONS.....	D-1

Figures

Figure 1-1.	Location of the Hanford Site.....	1-3
Figure 1-2.	Detailed Geography of the Hanford Site, Hanford Reach National Monument, and U.S. Department of Energy Portions of the Hanford Site.	1-4
Figure 1-3.	The Frank Hensley Apple Ranch (circa 1913).....	1-5
Figure 1-4.	Aerial View of 100-H Reactor.	1-6
Figure 1-5.	Firefighters Practice Skills They Will Use On and Off the Hanford Site.....	1-11

Figure 1-6. Meteorological Monitoring Network Wind Roses from 2019.	1-17
Figure 2-1. Alleged Environmental Noncompliance Violations, Concerns, and Associated Fines Summary.	2-35
Figure 3-1. Fleet Management – Acquisitions Fiscal Years 2005 through 2019. NOTE: AFV stands for alternative fuel vehicle.....	3-4
Figure 3-2. Vehicle Fuel Use – Fiscal Years 2005 through 2019.	3-5
Figure 3-3. Water Use – Fiscal Years 2007 through 2019.....	3-6
Figure 3-4. Electricity Use – Fiscal Years 2016 through 2019 with Target Objectives through 2025.....	3-6
Figure 3-5. Facility Fuel Use – Fiscal Years 2003 through 2019. NOTE: KBTU stands for one thousand British thermal units.....	3-7
Figure 3-6. Facility Energy Use – Fiscal Years 2015 through 2019 with Target Objectives through 2025.....	3-8
Figure 3-7. Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool Standards Compliance.....	3-8
Figure 3-8. Sanitary Waste Reduction.....	3-9
Figure 3-9. Onsite Waste Disposal – Fiscal Years 2008 through 2019 at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility.	3-9
Figure 4-1. Average Thermoluminescent Dosimeter Results (mrem/year) in Selected Operational Areas.....	4-3
Figure 4-2. Locations Evaluated for Onsite and Offsite Receptors.	4-10
Figure 4-3. Conceptual Site Model of Exposure Pathways Evaluated in Dose Calculations (Horn Rapids Road Maximally Exposed Individual).	4-11
Figure 4-4. Total Dose for the Hypothetical Maximally Exposed Individual Over Time.....	4-13
Figure 4-5. Comparison of Measured and Modeled Tritium Air Concentrations Near the 300 Area.....	4-14
Figure 4-6. Collective Total Dose within a 50-mi (80-km) Radius.	4-18
Figure 4-7. U.S. Annual Average Radiological Doses from Various Sources	4-29
Figure 4-8. Radiological Doses from Hanford Site Operations Compared to Annual Average from Natural Sources.	4-30
Figure 5-1. Aerial View of 100-K Area Looking North showing the site as it looked in 2010 on the left compared to 2019 view on the right.....	5-4
Figure 5-2. The 116-KE-2 Excavation Site. 105-KE Reactor Building is in the Background.....	5-6
Figure 5-3. Aerial View of the Fast Flux Test Facility.....	5-9
Figure 5-4. December 2019 Aerial View of the Plutonium Finishing Plant.....	5-13
Figure 5-5. Aerial Over of the 200 Areas Tank Farms.....	5-25
Figure 5-6. AX-Farm (Aerial Photograph, January 2020).	5-26
Figure 5-7. A-Farm Ventilation System (Aerial Photograph, January 2020).....	5-26
Figure 5-8. Composite AX-102 In-Tank View during Retrieval.....	5-27

Figure 5-9. Photographs of the 2019 Model of the RAVIS Air-Slot Non-Destructive Evaluation Sensor.....	5-30
Figure 5-10. Photographs of the RAVIS Robotic System During 2019 Testing.....	5-31
Figure 5-11. Aerial View of the Central Waste Complex.....	5-36
Figure 5-12. A worker loads 65 drums of mixed low-level waste debris for shipment from the Waste Receiving and Processing Facility to Perma Fix Northwest.....	5-37
Figure 5-13. Aerial View of the T-Plant Complex.....	5-38
Figure 5-14. Trenches 31 (Bottom Trench) and 34 (Top Trench) are Used to Store and Dispose of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste from Hanford Site Work.	5-40
Figure 5-15. Aerial View of the Integrated Disposal Facility.....	5-41
Figure 5-16. Aerial View of the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility.....	5-42
Figure 5-17. The Effluent Treatment Facility Receives Liquids from the Liquid Effluent Retention Facility.....	5-44
Figure 5-18. The Liquid Effluent Retention Facility is Located in the Central Part of the Hanford Site.	5-45
Figure 5-19. 200 Area Treated Effluent Disposal Facility Ponds A and B.....	5-46
Figure 5-20. Land Areas Managed by the Long-Term Stewardship Program as of 2019.	5-50
Figure 6-1. Sources Contributing to Volatile Organic Compounds and Ammonia Effluents.	6-7
Figure 6-2. Sources Contributing to Carbon Monoxide Effluents.....	6-8
Figure 6-3. Hanford Site Average Radionuclide Concentrations in Air Samples Compared to Distant Community Samples. NOTE: Because of figure scale, some uncertainties (error bars) are concealed by the point symbol.....	6-14
Figure 6-4. Offsite Air Sampling Locations for Calendar Year 2019.	6-16
Figure 6-5. Radionuclide Concentrations in Air Samples (1 pCi = 0.037 Bq).....	6-19
Figure 7-1. Drinking Water Treatment Facilities.....	7-3
Figure 7-2. 400 Area Tritium Concentrations in Drinking Water (2014-2019)	7-6
Figure 7-3. Surface Water and Sediment Sampling Locations.	7-8
Figure 7-4. Columbia River Flow Rates at Priest Rapids Dam.....	7-12
Figure 7-5. 2019 Annual Tritium Average Concentrations in Columbia River Water Upstream and Downstream of the Hanford Site.....	7-14
Figure 7-6. 2019 Annual Strontium-90 Average Concentrations in Columbia River Water Upstream and Downstream of the Hanford Site	7-15
Figure 7-7. 2019 Annual Uranium Average Concentrations in Columbia River Water Upstream and Downstream of the Hanford Site.....	7-15
Figure 7-8. 2019 Tritium Concentrations in Cross-River Transect Water Samples.....	7-17
Figure 7-9. 2019 Selected Anion Concentrations in Columbia River Transect Samples.....	7-19
Figure 7-10. Cesium-137 Average, Maximum (top), and Minimum (bottom) Concentrations Measured in Columbia River Sediment	7-22
Figure 7-11. Plutonium-239/240 Average, Maximum (top), and Minimum (bottom) Concentrations Measured in Columbia River Sediment.....	7-22

Figure 7-12. Uranium Average, Maximum (top), and Minimum (bottom) Concentrations Measured in Columbia River Sediment.	7-23
Figure 7-13. Selected Metals Average, Maximum, and Minimum Concentrations Measured in Columbia River Sediment (Washington and Oregon), 2019.....	7-24
Figure 7-14. Sediment Collections Sampling Locations Collected in Fiscal Year 2019.....	7-31
Figure 7-15. 2014 Through 2019 West Lake Uranium-234 Water Results.....	7-32
Figure 7-16. Location of Effluent Treatment Facility and State-Approved Land Disposal Site.....	7-35
Figure 7-17. Location of the Treated Effluent Disposal Facility.....	7-37
Figure 7-18. Location of the Evaporative Sewage Treatment Lagoon.....	7-39
Figure 8-1. Regions of the Hanford Site and Most Extensive Contaminant Plumes.....	8-3
Figure 8-2. Hanford Site Plume Areas.....	8-4
Figure 8-3. Groundwater Contaminant Plumes in the River Corridor.....	8-5
Figure 8-4. River Corridor Plume Areas.....	8-8
Figure 8-5. Maximum Concentrations of River Corridor Contaminants Over Time.....	8-8
Figure 8-6. Groundwater Dose Calculation for the River Corridor.....	8-9
Figure 8-7. Groundwater Contaminant Plumes in the Central Plateau.....	8-13
Figure 8-8. Central Plateau Plume Areas.....	8-16
Figure 8-9. Maximum Concentrations of Central Plateau Contaminants over Time.....	8-16
Figure 8-10. Groundwater Dose Calculation for the Central Plateau.....	8-17
Figure 9.1. Average Concentrations of Select Radionuclides in Hanford Site Soil Samples, 2014–2019.....	9-7
Figure 10-1. Agricultural Monitoring Locations. NOTE: Duplicate information may or may not be included in this data.	10-5
Figure 10-2. Animal Monitoring Locations.....	10-8
Figure 10-3. Strontium-90 Concentrations in Canada Goose Bone Samples.....	10-13
Figure 10-3. Hanford Site Vegetation Average Concentrations of Selected Radionuclides.....	10-18
Figure 11-1. Best Solution Determined by Marxan Assessment for Conservation Areas on the DOE-RL-managed Portion of Hanford Site.....	11-8
Figure 11-2. Irreplaceability vs Vulnerability Plot.....	11-9
Figure 11-3. Potential Conservation Action Map for the DOE-RL-Managed Portion Hanford Site Overlain with the CHAMP Best Solution.....	11-10
Figure 11-4. The Hanford Site Comprehensive Land-Use Planning Map Overlain with the CHAMP Best Solution.....	11-12
Figure 11-5. The Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan Resources Levels Map Overlain with the CHAMP Best Solution.....	11-14
Figure 11-6. Visual Hanford Reach Fall Chinook Salmon Redd Counts 1948 to 2019.....	11-18
Figure 11-7. Columbia River Flows on the Hanford Reach during Late Winter and Spring 2019.	11-19

Figure 11-8. Hanford Bald Eagle Night Roost Buffers.....	11-21
Figure 11-9. Age Class of Bald Eagles Counted During Roost Surveys.	11-23
Figure 11-10. Active Ferruginous Hawk Nests Observed on DOE-RL-Managed Lands of the Hanford Site in 2019.....	11-26
Figure 11-11. Burrowing Owl Artificial Burrow System Chamber Installed on the Hanford Site.....	11-28
Figure 11-12. Locations of the New and Replaced Artificial Burrow Systems Installed on the Hanford Site During Calendar Year 2018.....	11-29
Figure 11-13. Burrow 49, Located Along Highway 240, Contained Nine Eggs and Three Newly Hatched Young.	11-30
Figure 11-14. Burrow 39, Located Near the HAMMER EVOC Facility Contained Five Hatch Year Owls.....	11-30
Figure 11-15. Newly Banded Hatch Year Owl Being Returned to Burrow.	11-31
Figure 11-16. Roadside Bird Survey Routes Surveyed for Calendar Year 2019.....	11-32
Figure 11-17. Apodemus Infrared Camera Bat Counters Placed on the 183-F Clearwell Opening.	11-34
Figure 11-18. Maximum Emergence Counts from the 183-F and 183-D Clearwells Since 2008.	11-35
Figure 11-19. Infrared Bat Counter Ins and Outs from June Roost Survey Displayed in Chart View.....	11-36
Figure 11-20. Northern and Southern Region Driving Routes used for FY 2019 Hanford Site Mule Deer Surveys.	11-38
Figure 11-21. Average Number of Deer Observed in Both Regions FY 1995 to FY 2019.....	11-41
Figure 11-22. Distribution of Observed Mule Deer and Incidental Elk Herds During FY 2019.....	11-42
Figure 11-23. Ratio of Fawns to Does in each Region from FY 1995 to FY 2019.	11-43
Figure 11-24. Percentage of Bucks with Abnormal Antler Growth, FY 1995 through FY 2019.	11-43
Figure 11-25. Bee Nest Boxes: Design A (Left) and Design B (Right), Not to Scale.....	11-45
Figure 11-26. The Occupied Bee Nest Boxes in December 2019.....	11-46
Figure 11-27. Area Monitored in 2019 Riparian Vegetation Mapping Surveys.....	11-47
Figure 11-28. Boundaries of the Gable Mountain Pools in 2019 Compared to 2017 Boundaries.	11-50
Figure 11-29. Hanford Site National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Reviews by Area.	11-56
Figure 11-30. Storage of Artifacts and Multimedia from the Manhattan Project and Cold War Era.....	11-59
Figure 11-31. Ground Penetrating Radar Equipment Used on the Hanford Site, Transferred to the Hanford History Project Repository in 2019.	11-59
Figure 11-32. Communication Panels from 105-B Reactor, Transferred to the Hanford History Project Repository in 2019.....	11-60

Tables

Table 1-1. Hanford Meteorological Station Climatological Information for 1945 through 2019.....	1-18
Table 1-2. Meteorology Station ^a Monthly and Annual Climatological Data 2019.....	1-20
Table 2-1. Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Requirements Summary.....	2-10

Table 2-2. Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Compliance Reporting.....	2-11
Table 2-3. Average Quantity of the 10 Hazardous Chemicals Stored in Greatest Quantities.....	2-11
Table 2-4. Toxic Chemicals Exceeding Reporting Thresholds.....	2-12
Table 2-5. Radiation Protection Standards for the Public and the Environment from All Routine DOE Operations.....	2-17
Table 2-6. Selected Drinking Water Standards.....	2-22
Table 2-7. Recycle Quantities.....	2-27
Table 2-8. Environmental Permits.....	2-30
Table 2-9. Alleged Environmental Noncompliance Summary by Program Area, 2014 through 2019.....	2-34
Table 2-10. Summary of Alleged Environmental Noncompliances for Calendar Year 2019.....	2-34
Table 2-11. CY 2019 Wastewater Permit Deviations.....	2-35
Table 3-1. DOE Contract Actions and Contractor Implementation.....	3-2
Table 3-2. Hanford Site Environmental Management System Internet Links.....	3-3
Table 4-1. Thermoluminescent Dosimeter Locations and Results (mrem/yr) ^a in 2018 and 2019.....	4-2
Table 4-2. Pathway Doses for the Hypothetical Maximally Exposed Individual Residing at Horn Rapids Road.....	4-12
Table 4-3. Collective Pathway Doses within a 50-mi (80-km) Radius.....	4-17
Table 4-4. Annual Doses for a Hypothetical Individual at National Historic Park Locations (2019).....	4-23
Table 4-5. Estimated Sum of Fractions to Biota Associated with Columbia River Sediment and Water.....	4-26
Table 4-6. Estimated Sum of Fractions to Biota Associated with West Lake ^a	4-26
Table 4-7. Estimated Sum of Fractions to Terrestrial Biota Associated with On- and Offsite Soil.....	4-27
Table 4-8. Estimated Risk from Various Activities and Exposures.....	4-30
Table 4-9. Dose Constraints for Release and Clearance of Property, DOE O 458.1.....	4-31
Table 4-10. Authorized Limits for Offsite Shipment and Regeneration of Granular-Activated Carbon.....	4-33
Table 5-1. Central Plateau Operable Unit Structure.....	5-10
Table 5-2. Solid Waste ^a Quantities Generated on the Hanford Site.....	5-22
Table 5-3. Solid Waste ^a Quantities Received on the Hanford Site from Offsite Sources.....	5-22
Table 5-4. Dangerous Waste ^a Quantities Shipped Off the Hanford Site.....	5-23
Table 5-5. Tank Farm System Quantities of Waste Retrieved and Stored.....	5-28
Table 6-1. Hanford Site Stack Locations and Sample Analyses.....	6-2
Table 6-2. Hanford Site Radioactive Airborne Emissions in Calendar Year 2019.....	6-4
Table 6-3. Calendar Year 2019 Hanford Site Air Emissions Inventory.....	6-8
Table 6-4. Hanford Site Monitoring Locations and Analyses for Air Monitoring Samples.....	6-9
Table 7-1. Drinking Water Systems.....	7-2

Table 7-2. Drinking Water Annual Average Concentrations of Selected Radiological Constituents.	7-5
Table 7-3. Tritium Concentrations in Hanford Site 400 Area Drinking Water Wells.	7-7
Table 7-4. Surface Water Surveillance.	7-9
Table 7-5. Columbia River Sediment.	7-10
Table 7-6. Columbia River Seep Monitoring.	7-25
Table 7-7. Sediment Samples at Riverbank Seep Locations.	7-29
Table 7-8. Calendar Year 2019 Tritium Discharges to the State-Approved Land Disposal Site.	7-36
Table 8-1. River Corridor Groundwater Contaminants, 2018 and 2019.	8-5
Table 8-2. Summary of CERCLA Groundwater Remediation in the River Corridor.	8-7
Table 8-3. Central Plateau Groundwater Contaminants, 2018 and 2019.	8-14
Table 8-4. Summary of CERCLA Groundwater Remediation on the Central Plateau.	8-14
Table 9-1. Hanford Site Soil Monitoring Locations and Sample Analyses.	9-2
Table 9-2. Number of Soil Samples per Operational Area.	9-3
Table 9-3. Concentration Limits for Selected Radionuclides (pCi/g).	9-4
Table 9-4. Offsite Soil Monitoring Locations and Sample Analyses.	9-9
Table 9-5. Hanford Site Soil Contamination Occurrences discovered in CY 2019.	9-10
Table 9-6. Hanford Site Soil Contamination Occurrences from 2000 through 2019.	9-11
Table 10-1. Agricultural Monitoring Location.	10-4
Table 10-2. Wildlife Monitoring Analysis.	10-8
Table 10-3. Metals Analyses for the Mountain Whitefish Samples.	10-9
Table 10-4. Metals Analyses for the Walleye Samples.	10-10
Table 10-5. Hanford Site Vegetation Monitoring Locations and Sample Analyses.	10-13
Table 10-6. Number of Vegetation Samples per Operational Area.	10-13
Table 10-7. Offsite Vegetation Monitoring Locations and Sample Analyses.	10-17
Table 10-8. Hanford Site Vegetation Contamination Occurrences Discovered in Calendar Year 2019.	10-18
Table 10-9. Hanford Site Vegetation Contamination Occurrences from 2000 through 2019.	10-19
Table 11-1. Summary of the Focal Habitats or Species Key Ecological Attributes and their Indicators.	11-4
Table 11-2. Area of the DOE-RL-Managed Portion of Hanford Site and the Marxan Best Solution Covered by Each Hanford Site Comprehensive Land-Use Planning Designations.	11-13
Table 11-3. Area of the DOE-RL-Managed Portion of Hanford Site and the Marxan Best Solution Covered by Each Biological Resources Management Plan Resource Level of Concern.	11-15
Table 11-4. Summary of Fall Chinook Salmon Redd Counts by Areas for the 2019 Aerial Surveys in the Hanford of the Columbia River.	11-17

Table 11-5. Summary of Fall Chinook Salmon Redd Counts by Sub-areas Adjacent to Hanford Site Operations for the 2019 Aerial Surveys in the Hanford Reach of the Columbia River.	11-17
Table 11-6. Bald Eagle Night Roost Monitoring Data for FY 2019.	11-22
Table 11-7. Species Richness and Abundance Counted During the 2018 Breeding Season Roadside Bird Survey Routes on the Hanford Site Sorted by Route.	11-33
Table 11-8. Mule Deer Survey Results for FY 2019.	11-39
Table 11-9. Vegetation Cover Types.	11-47
Table 11-10. Rare Plant Data During Riparian Monitoring 2019.	11-49
Table 11-11. Federal and State Endangered, Threatened, Sensitive, and Candidate Species.	11-51
Table 11-12. Sites and Isolates Recorded or Updated.	11-57
Table 12-1. Laboratories and Types of Environmental Surveillance Samples Analyzed.	12-3
Table 12-2. Field and Laboratory Quality Control Sample Types, Characteristics, and Frequency.	12-4
Table 12-3. 2019 Field Duplicate Samples.	12-4
Table 12-4. 2019 Field Duplicate Sample Results.	12-5
Table 12-5. 2019 DOE Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program Results for General Engineering Laboratories, LLC.	12-13
Table 12-6. MAPEP Relative Performance Status Ratings.	12-14