

LIBRARY OF  
D. E. WATSON  
NO.

BNWL-1811  
UC-41

ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE  
AT HANFORD FOR CY-1973



**Battelle**

Pacific Northwest Laboratories  
Richland, Washington 99352

APRIL 1974

Prepared for the U.S. Atomic Energy  
Commission under Contract AT(45-1):1830

BNWL-1811

## NOTICE

\* The report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY  
*operated by*  
BATTELLE  
*for the*  
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
*Under Contract AT(45-1)-1830*

Printed in the United States of America  
Available from  
National Technical Information Service  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
5205 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, Virginia 22151  
Price: Printed Copy \$5.35; Microfiche \$1.45

BNWL-1811

UC-41, Health and Safety

ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE AT HANFORD FOR CY-1973

by

W.L. Nees and J.P. Corley  
Occupational and Environmental Safety Department

April 1974

BATTELLE  
PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORIES  
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON 99352

During 1973, Hanford facilities were operated for the Atomic Energy Commission by: Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, Pacific Northwest Laboratories of Battelle Memorial Institute, United Nuclear Industries, Westinghouse Hanford Company, and Hanford Environmental Health Foundation



<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
List of Figures	ii
List of Tables	iii
Introduction	1
Summary	5
Surveillance Program	6
Water Data	9
Radioactivity in the Columbia River	9
Columbia River Water Quality	15
Radioactivity in Drinking Water	16
Richland Drinking Water Quality	16
Groundwater	16
Air Data	24
Radioactivity in the Atmosphere	24
Air Quality	25
Milk, Foods, and Biota	25
Radionuclides in Milk	25
Radionuclides in Foods	35
Radionuclides in Fish, Shellfish, and Gamebirds	35
Soil and Vegetation	41
External Radiation	41
Eastern Quadrant/Perimeter Communities	41
Columbia River Shoreline	43
Immersion	43
Surface Measurements	45
Population Dose Implications	47
Off-Site Plant Effluent Releases	52
References	55
Appendix A - Routine Monitoring Schedule	A-1
Appendix B - Routine Analytical Procedures	B-1
Appendix C - Applicable Standards	C-1
Appendix D - Analytical Limits	D-1
Appendix E - Dietary Assumptions	E-1
Distribution	Distr-1




LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
1	Geographical Relationship of Hanford to the Pacific Northwest	2
2	Features of Hanford Project and Vicinity	3
3	Columbia River Flow Rates	11
4	Temperature of the Columbia River at Richland	22
5	Off-Site Air Sampling Locations	26
6	Monthly Average Total Beta Concentrations in Airborne Particulates	27
7	Monthly Average P-32 and Zn-65 Concentrations in Milk from River-Irrigated Farms	33
8	Monthly Average I-131 Concentrations in Locally Available Milk	34
9	Monthly Average Sr-90 Concentrations in Locally Available Milk	36
10	Monthly Average Zn-65 Concentrations in Whitefish from the Columbia River	38
11	Zn-65 Concentrations in Willapa Bay Oysters	39
12	Monthly Average Gamma Exposure Rates	44
13	Monthly Average Gamma Exposure Rates at the Columbia River Shoreline	50
14	Doses to the GI Tract from Drinking Water	51



LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
1	Routine Environmental Surveillance Schedule	7
2	Average Concentration of Radionuclides in Columbia River Water	12
3	Annual Average Transport Rates of Selected Radionuclides in the Columbia River at Richland	13
4	Annual Average Transport Rate of Selected Radionuclides past Bonneville Dam	14
5	Columbia River Biological Analyses	17
6	Columbia River Chemical Analyses	18
7	Temperature of Columbia River Water	19
8	Concentrations of Several Radionuclides in Richland Drinking Water	20
9	Nitrates and Fluorides at Water Treatment Plants	21
10	Groundwater Analyses from Wells in the Vicinity of Hanford	23
11	Radioactivity in Air	28
12	Airborne Radionuclides Quarterly Averages	29
13	Air Quality Measurements - Annual Averages	30
14	Radionuclide Concentrations in Locally Purchased Milk and Food	32
15	Radionuclide Concentrations in Muscle of Gamebirds, Fish, and Shellfish	37
16	Radionuclides in Soil and Vegetation	42
17	External Radiation Exposure Rates	43
18	Gamma Exposure Rates at the Columbia River Shoreline	47
19	Columbia River Immersion Exposure Rate	47
20	Comparable Dose Estimates for Average Richland Resident	48
21	Radiation Dose Commitments from Fallout Nuclides, and from Plant Sources	49
22	Plant Off-Site Effluent Releases	53



## ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE AT HANFORD FOR CY-1973

by

W. L. Nees and J. P. Corley

INTRODUCTION

Production of plutonium was the original mission at the Hanford site of the Atomic Energy Commission. During recent years, the work at Hanford has been diversified to include fast breeder reactor development, radioactive waste management, and expanded biological, environmental, and other research areas. AEC activities at Hanford now include power reactor operations, nuclear fuel fabrication, test reactor operation, chemical separations of irradiated fuels, laboratory support and research, waste storage and disposal as well as plant support operations. Lately, privately-owned facilities have been located within the Hanford site boundaries, such as a power generating station, office buildings, and a radioactive waste burial site.

The Hanford site is in a semi-arid region of southeastern Washington State (Figure 1) where the annual average rainfall is about 16 cm (6 inches). This section of the state has a sparse covering of natural vegetation primarily suited for grazing, although large areas near the site have gradually been put under irrigation during the past few years. The plant site (Figure 2) covers an area of about 1500 km<sup>2</sup> (560 mi<sup>2</sup>). The Columbia River flows through the northern edge of the Hanford site and forms part of the eastern boundary. As indicated by the wind roses shown in Figure 2, prevailing winds near the plant production sites are from the northwest, with strong drainage and cross winds causing distorted flow patterns. The meteorology of the region is typical of desert areas, with frequent strong inversions occurring at night but breaking during the day to provide unstable and turbulent conditions.

The nearest population center is the Tri-Cities area (Richland, Pasco, and Kennewick) situated on the Columbia River directly downstream from the plant. Smaller communities in the vicinity include Benton City,




FIGURE 1: Geographical Relationship of Hanford to the Pacific Northwest




FIGURE 2: Features of Hanford Project and Vicinity

West Richland, Mesa, and Othello. The population near the plant, together with the surrounding agricultural area, is about 200,000.

The farming area closest to Hanford is on Wahluke Slope, about 11 km (7 miles) from N-Reactor, but much of the land east and south of the project boundary is under cultivation and could be in the path of any airborne releases that might occur. Most irrigated farms near the Hanford plant obtain water from the Yakima River or from the Columbia River above the plant. However, two small irrigated areas using Columbia River water taken downstream from the N-Reactor are the Ringold farms and the Riverview district west of Pasco. These are about 40 km (25 miles) and 70 km (45 miles), respectively, downstream from the N-Reactor. The principal products from the larger farm plots are hay, fruit, beef, and dairy products.

Radioactive wastes continued to be generated during 1973 by the N-production reactor, chemical processing plants, and laboratories. High level wastes were concentrated and retained in storage in the chemical processing areas. Controlled releases of low-level wastes, for which concentration and storage were not feasible, were made to the ground, to the atmosphere, and to the Columbia River.

The most significant Hanford contributions to off-plant radioactivity and population doses have in recent years usually originated with reactor once-through cooling water released to the Columbia River.<sup>(1)</sup> At one time, nine production reactors, eight with once-through cooling, were in operation at Hanford. Between December 1964 and January, 1971, all reactors with once-through cooling were deactivated. The only production reactor remaining in operation at Hanford is N-Reactor. N-Reactor has a closed primary cooling loop and releases only minor quantities of radioactivity to the river. As a result, the amount of radioactivity released to the Hanford environment, other than to soil within the plant reservation, decreased to relative insignificance.

The purpose of this annual report is to present a summary and evaluation of the combined off-site effects of effluents released to uncontrolled areas by all Hanford contractors during 1973 in compliance with AECM-0513.<sup>(2)</sup> Detailed analytical data on which this evaluation is based are published as a separate report (BNWL-1811 ADD).<sup>(3)</sup>

SUMMARY

The 1973 Hanford Environmental Surveillance Program showed continued compliance of the Hanford contractors and their operations with applicable environmental standards. Samples of surface water, groundwater, air, foodstuffs, soil, and vegetation were collected and analyzed for radioactivity. Columbia River water also received chemical and biological analysis. Additionally, measurements were made of river immersion exposure rate, surface exposure rate (1 meter above soil surface), and radionuclide deposition on soil surfaces and highways open to public access.

The shutdown of the last of the single-pass cooled production reactors (KE) in January of 1971 eliminated the major remaining source of population exposure from Hanford operations. In 1973, the average river radionuclide concentrations were less than 0.5% of the Concentration Guides for all identified radionuclides. The difference in observed gross alpha activity between Vernita and Richland averaged 0.4% of the Concentration Guide for unidentified alpha emitters. Biological and chemical measurements indicated an addition of coliform organisms (14% of standard) and BOD (no standard) between measurement points which was due to non-plant sources. There was no measurable contribution to nitrate. Maximum river temperature was 21.0°C, and there was a net increase in river temperature of about 0.2°C between Priest Rapids and Richland.

Average concentrations in Richland sanitary water were less than 1% of the Concentration Guides for radionuclides except for unidentified alpha emitters, which were 2.3% of the Concentration Guide. As indicated above, most of the alpha activity does not originate from Hanford operations. Nitrate in Richland sanitary water was 2% of the standards.

Tritium and nitrate concentrations in four off-site wells were less than 0.1 and 1%, respectively, of the applicable standards.

Airborne radioactivity concentrations at the Hanford boundary were, on the average, the same as the more distant sampling locations, indicating that Hanford operations did not contribute detectably to off-site airborne radioactivity. Average airborne beta, alpha, and I-131 concentrations were 0.4, 4.0, and <1%, respectively, of the Concentration Guides for 1973.

Airborne  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  were 14% and less than 20% of the respective standards.

A number of foodstuffs were sampled and analyzed for radioactive content. Average concentrations of Zn-65, Sr-90, I-131, and Cs-137 in local milk were less than 1% of the Concentration Guide for water. Trace radionuclides were also measured in local meat, poultry, eggs, produce, gamebirds, whitefish, and Willapa Bay oysters. There are no applicable Concentration Guides for these foods, but calculated doses from consumption of such foods were less than 1 mrem. Zn-65 concentration in the oysters decreased through 1973 at a rate closely corresponding to its radioactive decay rate and averaged  $0.6 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$  in 10 samples.

Soil and vegetation samples were analyzed for plutonium, uranium, Sr-90, and gamma emitters. Individual results showed no particular geographical pattern and the concentrations are believed to be the result of natural occurrence and regional fallout from past nuclear weapons tests. Local plutonium concentrations are typical of arid western states.

The average external radiation exposure rate in the vicinity of Hanford was about 0.19 mR/day. The immersion exposure rate in the Columbia River at Richland averaged 0.14 mR/day, and the Columbia River shoreline exposure rate averaged 0.26 mR/day at Richland. Residual contamination from previous reactor operations was measured on several islands but has minimal dose implications.

Estimated 1973 dose to the average Richland resident from Hanford sources was less than 1 mrem (<0.6% of the standard), about the same as for 1972.

#### SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

Environmental surveillance at Hanford includes measurement of environmental exposure rates and sampling of air, surface water, groundwater, soil, vegetation, locally produced foodstuffs, wild game and fish. Table 1 and Appendix C lists those samples and measurements obtained routinely at or beyond the plant boundaries. The surveillance program reflects past experience in monitoring significant exposure pathways, even though many of the media sampled exhibited little or no radioactivity during the period covered by this report.

Air is sampled with constant volume air pumps, operating at 40  $\ell/\text{min}$ . (1.5 cfm), with a particulate filter and iodine sampling device in series.

TABLE 1  
ROUTINE ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE SCHEDULE - 1973

<u>Type of Sample</u>	<u>Type of Analysis</u>	<u>Cont</u>	<u>Frequency</u>								
			<u>D</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>SM</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SQ</u>	<u>Q</u>	<u>SA</u>	<u>A</u>
Columbia River Water	Radioactivity	1			1		4		1		
	Dose Rate					4					
	Chemical		1		3						
	Biological					4					
Sanitary Water	Radioactivity										
	Chemical					3					
Waste Water	Radioactivity					1		10			
	Biological							1			
Groundwater Wells	Radioactivity							2	3	134	103
	Chemical							2	45	138	84
<u>AIR</u>											
Filters	Radioactivity					11	26				
Scrubbers	Particulates		2								
	Radioactivity					2	1				
Charcoal Cartridge	Chemicals		4								
	Radioactivity					3	7				
<u>OTHER</u>											
Surveillance Waste											
Disposal Sites	Radioactivity								24	71	1
Radiation Level	Dose Rate		1	3		18	37				
Shoreline Survey	Dose Rate				1	25					
Ground Control Plot	Radioactivity		1			16	26	6	13	3	
Road Survey	Radioactivity						11		3		
Aerial Survey	Radioactivity									5	
Railroad Survey	Radioactivity										1
Milk	Radioactivity					7	1				
Fish Columbia River	Radioactivity					1					
Wild Fowl	Radioactivity								10		100
Mammals	Radioactivity						1		3		7
Soil	Radioactivity										35
Vegetation	Radioactivity										23
Foodstuffs:	Meat						1				1
	Eggs						1				1
	Chicken								1		1
	Produce										14
	Oysters	Radioactivity					1				

\*Samples routinely analyzed and reported by the Hanford Environmental Health Foundation.

Particulate filter media for off-site samples is 47 mm diameter HV-70,\* an asbestos fiber mat filter. In addition to the individual filter analyses, all filters are composited in one of four groups for monthly gamma scan and quarterly measurement for plutonium and strontium-90. Radioiodines are routinely sampled with a potassium-iodide impregnated charcoal in a 15 cm (6-inch) long cartridge; caustic scrubber (bubbler) samplers were used in earlier years. Charcoal cartridges for most locations are analyzed only when other data indicates the presence of radioiodine in the atmosphere.

Water is sampled by integrating samplers and/or periodic one-liter grab samples with analysis for biological, chemical, and radioactive content. Containers for collection of weekly integrated water samples for radiochemical analysis are pre-dosed with concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  to minimize wall deposition and biological activity in the collected sample. Groundwater is sampled at four off-site wells and analyzed for tritium and nitrate ion.

Annual sampling of soil and vegetation is conducted at a number of Hanford boundary and public access locations. Analyses for uranium, plutonium, strontium, and gamma emitting radionuclides are performed.

Foodstuffs (meat, milk, eggs, chicken, produce, and oysters) and potential foodstuffs (gamebirds, fish, and deer) are sampled for radioactivity on varying schedules from weekly to annually.

Appendix B gives brief descriptions of the methods used for routine analyses. Routine radioanalyses are by U. S. Testing Company, Richland, Washington. Chemical and biological measurements in Columbia River water are by Battelle-Northwest Laboratories; those in sanitary water and in air are made by the Hanford Environmental Health Foundation, Richland, Washington.

Basic regulations governing radiological criteria for the Hanford environment are embodied in AEC Manual Chapter 0524,<sup>(4)</sup> with Appendix, which specifies radioactivity release limits, Concentration Guides, and Population Dose Criteria. Federal Air Quality<sup>(5)</sup> and Washington State Water Quality Standards<sup>(6)</sup> are also complied with. The routine surveillance program is basically designed to demonstrate compliance with these various standards,

---

\* Trade name by Hollingsworth-Vose Company

although other purposes are also served, including emergency preparedness and trend detection.

### WATER DATA

#### Radioactivity in the Columbia River

N-Reactor, the only production reactor remaining in operation at Hanford during 1973, uses recirculating, demineralized water as a primary coolant. Waste water containing some radioactive material is discharged to the ground. Many of the radionuclides are shortlived and disappear quickly due to radioactive decay before reaching the river; others are largely absorbed in soil particles and retained in the soil. A small stream (approximately 100 gpm) of single-pass cooling water discharging into the Columbia River was eliminated during the middle of 1973. Discharges to the Columbia River are shown in Table 22.

The small quantities of nuclides reaching the river from N-Reactor have been diluted greatly in the river and have normally not been detectable at the downstream plant boundary.

Seasonal fluctuations in the flow rate of the Columbia River affect radionuclide concentrations by varying the quantity of water available for dilution of reactor effluent released to the river. In addition, scouring by high river flows of sediments deposited in reservoirs behind each dam causes seasonal fluctuations in transport rates of those longer-lived nuclides associated with the sediments. Also affected by the river flow rate is the time required for a specific volume of water to move downstream, which in turn affects the extent of decay of shorter-lived nuclides.

Figure 3 shows the weekly average flow rates of the Columbia River at Priest Rapids and Bonneville Dams determined from daily average flow rates published by the U. S. Geological Survey. <sup>(11)</sup> For 1973, the average river flow rate at Priest Rapids was 2530 m<sup>3</sup>/sec (89,500 ft<sup>3</sup>/sec), which was well below the 1948-1962 annual average of 3770 m<sup>3</sup>/sec (133,000 ft<sup>3</sup>/sec).

During 1973, samples of Columbia River water were collected at Vernita Toll Bridge near the upstream Hanford boundary, 100-B reactor site, Hanford Powerline Crossing, at the Richland water plant intake, near the downstream boundary, as well as at McNary Dam and Bonneville Dam. Where possible, integrated sampling equipment was used to provide a more representative sample than periodic "grab" samples.

Sampling traverses across the Columbia River at Richland have indicated a slightly non-uniform distribution of the longer-lived radionuclides at this cross section. Entries of the Yakima River just below Richland and of the Snake River just below Pasco influence the distribution and concentrations of radionuclides in the Columbia River below these two points. The magnitude of the influence varies with seasonal changes in the flow rate of the tributaries.

Table 2 shows the maximum, minimum, and annual average radionuclide concentration in the Columbia River water at Vernita/100-B and Richland for 1973. The average river radionuclide concentrations were less than 1% of the Concentration Guides\* (C.G.) for all the radioisotopes in Table 2, except for total alpha which was 1.6% of the C.G. for an unknown mixture of alpha emitters. There was no statistically significant difference in the alpha values between Vernita and Richland. There was a small increase in the average tritium transport between Vernita and Richland from 106 Ci/day to 140 Ci/day. The average tritium concentration at Richland represented  $2 \times 10^{-4}$ % of the C.G. Some intermittent transport of previously deposited nuclide-bearing sediments continued to give occasional positive transport values.

Bonneville Dam, approximately 490 km (240 miles) below the N-Reactor, is the farthest downstream location where river water is routinely sampled as part of the Hanford environmental surveillance program. Measurements at this location yielded no positive transport. Zn-65 was the only radioisotope analysis performed during 1973, with negative results.

\* AEC Manual, Chapter 0524




FIGURE 3: Columbia River Flow Rates

TABLE 2  
CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN COLUMBIA RIVER FOR 1973  
 ( $10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ )

Radionuclide	C.G.	Analytical Limit	VERNITA				RICHLAND					
			No. of Samples	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% C.G.	No. of Samples	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% C.G.
Alpha	30	0.2	3	0.80	0.24	0.51	1.7	12	0.87	0.27	0.49	1.6
$^3\text{H}$	3,000,000	250	12	1,100	*	510	0.02	12	1,100	200	610	0.02
$^{46}\text{Sc}$	40,000	25	3	*	*	*	<0.004	51	*	*	*	<0.001
$^{51}\text{Cr}$	2,000,000	250	3	*	*	*	<0.001	51	*	*	*	<0.002
$^{60}\text{Co}$	30,000	20	3	*	*	*	<0.03	51	47	*	*	0.03
$^{65}\text{Zn}$	100,000	40	3	*	*	*	<0.001	4	*	*	*	<0.001
$^{90}\text{Sr}$	300	0.06	3	1.4	0.27	0.66	0.22	12	0.43	0.16	0.33	0.11
$^{131}\text{I}$	300	1.5	4	*	*	*	<0.2	27	2.6	*	0.99	0.33
$^{137}\text{Cs}$	20,000	1.0	3	*	*	*	<0.001	12	*	*	*	<0.001
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	5,000	0.01	1	*	*	*	<0.001	4	*	*	*	<0.001

TABLE 3  
ANNUAL AVERAGE TRANSPORT RATES OF SELECTED RADIONUCLIDES IN THE  
COLUMBIA RIVER AT RICHLAND  
(Ci/day)

Sample	1969			1970			1971			1972			1973		
	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.
<sup>32</sup> P	48.	8.7	21.	18.	0	6.6	7.6	0	0.42	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
<sup>46</sup> Sc	97.	10.	23.	24.	<0.77	9.9	29.	0	<2.5	<15.	0	<1.7	<2.7	0	<0.31
<sup>51</sup> Cr	480.	48.	210.	170.	0	64.	300.	0	<25.	340.	0	<36.	<49.	0	<11.
<sup>60</sup> Co				15. (2)	0	<0.72	<0.77	0	<0.66	16.	0	<1.5	11.	0	<1.5
<sup>65</sup> Zn	72.	8.0	23.	21.	<1.3	7.8	63.	0	<5.3	28.	0	<3.1	12.	0	<2.0
<sup>131</sup> I	2.5	0.36	1.2	1.1	0	0.42	0.60	<0.07	<0.23	1.7	<0.04	<0.35	<4.1	<0.06	<0.35

(1) Analysis discontinued.

(2) One result greater than analytical limit.  
No entry indicates no specific analysis was made.

TABLE 4

ANNUAL AVERAGE TRANSPORT RATE OF SELECTED RADIONUCLIDES  
PAST BONNEVILLE DAM, 1969-1973

(Ci/day)

Radionuclide	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>1973</u>
<sup>32</sup> P	7.1	<2.3	(1)	(1)	(1)
<sup>46</sup> Sc	<7	<2.5	<1.5	<0.1	(1)
<sup>51</sup> Cr	100.	<40.	(1)	(1)	(1)
<sup>65</sup> Zn	<15.	<4.7	<3.7	<1.	<1.

(1) Analysis discontinued.

### Columbia River Water Quality

Measurements of water quality parameters other than radioactivity are routinely made on Columbia River water in order to:

- (a) Detect any impact of Hanford waste disposal practices on river water quality.
- (b) Demonstrate continued compliance with Washington State Water Quality Standards for the Columbia River and Public Health Service recommendation for sources of drinking water.

Standards (6,12) applicable to the local river reaches are abstracted in Appendix C. In accordance with these, routine measurements are made either at Richland or the Laboratory (300) Area, approximately 8 km (5 miles) upstream from the Richland waterplant, for the parameters for which quantitative criteria are given. These are pH, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, coliform organisms, BOD, and temperature (Tables 5-7). Enterococci measurements are made to clarify the types of coliforms present. In addition, those parameters which are most likely to be affected by Hanford operations are measured both upstream at Priest Rapids and Vernita, and downstream at Richland. These are temperature and nitrate ion.

Analysis of Columbia River water for biological quality indicated an increase of coliform organisms and slight increase in BOD between Vernita and Richland measurement points. These additions are believed to be related to drainage from farming and animal husbandry activities not associated with Hanford operations. Additionally, this section of the river is heavily populated by waterfowl which may contribute to the biological load. These data showed compliance with the water quality standards. Nitrate was on the average the same at upstream and downstream measurement points. pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen measurements were made at the 300 Area and Vernita during 1973. The range of these measurements is believed to be of natural origin not associated with Hanford operations. There was a slight increase in average Columbia River temperature between Priest Rapids and Richland for 1973, as indicated in Table 7. Maximum river temperature went above the 20°C standard for this portion of the river, perhaps due to the extremely low flows experienced this year in the river. Figure 4 illustrates the natural annual river temperature cycle.

### Radioactivity in Drinking Water

The city of Richland, about 75 km (45 miles) downstream from N-Reactor, is the first community below the project that uses the Columbia River as a source of drinking water. Pasco and Kennewick, a few miles further downstream, also use the Columbia River as a source of drinking water. The Richland and Pasco water plants use a modern flocculation-filtration treatment method; water for Kennewick is pumped from Raney well collectors (infiltration pipes) laid in the riverbed.

During 1973, cumulative and grab drinking water samples were collected at the Richland water plant and were analyzed for selected individual radionuclides and gross beta activity (Table 8). Average radionuclide concentrations in Richland drinking water samples were much less than 1% of the Concentration Guides for water except for alpha, which was about 2.3% of the Concentration Guide for an unknown mixture of alpha emitters. Most of the alpha activity is not the result of Hanford operations as discussed in the river water section.

### Richland Drinking Water Quality

The Hanford Environmental Health Foundation, as a contractor to the Atomic Energy Commission, makes routine measurements for coliform bacteria and specific chemical ions at the 300 Area treatment plant and the City of Richland municipal treatment plant. The objective of the program is to monitor the bacteriological and chemical quality of the plant drinking water, in part obtained from the City of Richland.

Annual average concentrations of nitrate (Table 9) in the 300 Area and Richland water treatment plants were 0.8 and 0.7 ppm, 2% of the standard.

### Groundwater

An extensive groundwater monitoring program continued to show little, if any, measurable effect on Columbia River quality from low-level wastes released to ground disposal sites within the Hanford plant boundaries. The data from this program is documented separately, the most recent report in this series being BNWL-1752.<sup>(13)</sup> A remote possibility exists that radio-

TABLE 5  
COLUMBIA RIVER BIOLOGICAL ANALYSES FOR 1973

Standard	Coliform (N/100 ml)		Enterococci (N/100 ml)		BOD (ppm)
	Vernita	Richland	Vernita	Richland	
	240				
No. of Samples	12	12	12	12	11
Maximum	220	326	100	344	4.2
Minimum	2.5	4.0	2.0	1.0	0.6
Average	54	22	33	86	2.0
					2.1

\*Less than the analytical limit (see Appendix D)



TABLE 7  
TEMPERATURE OF COLUMBIA RIVER WATER - 1973  
 (°C)

No. of Samples	<u>Priest Rapids</u>			<u>Richland</u>		
	<u>Daily</u>			<u>Daily</u>		
<u>Year</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>
1971	19.2	2.6	10.4	20.1	2.6	10.7
1972	19.3	1.0	10.9	19.0	-0.3	10.1
1973	19.7	1.3	11.1	21.0	2.3	11.3

TABLE 8

CONCENTRATIONS OF SEVERAL RADIONUCLIDES IN RICHLAND DRINKING WATER - 1973  
( $10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ )

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>(2) Analytical Limit</u>	<u>No. of Samples</u>	<u>Concentration</u>		<u>% of C.G.</u>	<u>C.G.</u>
			<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min. Avg.</u>		
Alpha	0.12	52	1.5	* 0.70	2.3 <sup>(1)</sup>	30
Beta	0.005	52	0.009	*	<0.01	2,000,000
<sup>46</sup> Sc	25.	52	*	*	<0.01	40,000
<sup>51</sup> Cr	360.	52	*	*	<0.01	2,000,000
<sup>60</sup> Co	18.	52	24.	*	<0.03	30,000
<sup>65</sup> Zn	39.	52	*	*	<0.01	100,000
<sup>90</sup> Sr	0.03	12	1.5	* 0.34	0.11	300
<sup>137</sup> Cs	20.	52	*	*	<0.01	20,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Concentration Guide for an unknown mixture not including plutonium.

<sup>(2)</sup> See Appendix D.

\*Less than the analytical limit. See Appendix D.

TABLE 9  
NITRATES AT WATER TREATMENT PLANTS

		<u>Nitrates (ppm)</u>			
Analytical Limit		0.05			
Standard		45.			
<u>Location</u>	<u>No. Samples</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>	<u>% Standard</u>
300 Area Treatment Plant	45	1.7	0.23	0.73	1.6
Richland Treatment Plant	45	4.1	0.13	0.80	1.8




FIGURE 4: Temperature of the Columbia River at Richland

active or process materials could penetrate to confined aquifers which generally underlie the Pasco Basin. Several farm wells on the east side of the Columbia River, which are believed to penetrate to these confined aquifers, are routinely sampled for tritium and nitrate ion. The data are not definitive, since contamination from the surface by nitrate from fertilizers and tritium from recent precipitation can also occur.

Table 10 shows data from these wells for 1973. The value for W-15 Well resulted from one positive sample of  $780 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$  (less than 0.1% of the applicable Concentration Guide), which is not believed to be of Hanford origin since other data from this well and the data from other deep wells closer to plant facilities continued to be negative.

TABLE 10

GROUNDWATER ANALYSES FROM WELLS IN THE VICINITY OF HANFORD - 1973

		$^3\text{H}$ ( $10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ )				$\text{NO}_3$ (ppm)			
		Max.	Min.	Avg.	%C.G.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% Std.
Concentration Guide		3,000,000				45			
Analytical Limit		520				0.5			
<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Samples</u>								
Webber	2	*	*	*	<.02	*	*	*	<1
Vail	2	*	*	*	<.02	*	*	*	<1
W-15	2	780	*	*	<.03	*	*	*	<1
White Bluffs Association	2	*	*	*	<.02	0.8	*	*	1.8

\* Less than the analytical limit. See Appendix D.

AIR DATARadioactivity in the Atmosphere

Gaseous effluents from the Hanford chemical separations facilities were released to the atmosphere through tall (approximately 70 m) stacks after passage through high efficiency filters. Laboratory stacks, reactor-building stacks, and stacks from waste storage facilities of varying elevations may also release small amounts of particulate radioactive materials after high efficiency filtration. N-Reactor releases noble gases to the atmosphere from under normal operating conditions, due to activation of the reactor atmosphere. Total release to the atmosphere is shown in Table 22.

During 1973, measurements of airborne I-131 were made at five locations and total alpha and total beta were made at 21 locations around the Hanford reservation. Figure 5 shows the locations of off-site air sampling stations. Figure 6 shows the monthly average particulate beta radioactivity in the atmosphere from both nearby locations in the direction of the prevailing wind (Eastern Quadrant) and from more distant perimeter communities.

Airborne beta concentrations followed the annual cycle observed in previous years and showed about the same maximum and minimum values as for 1972. Tables 11 and 12 present a more detailed review of the 1973 airborne radioactivity data for sampling locations on or near the plant boundary. Activated charcoal sampling for I-131 was performed at the perimeter community locations but the samples were only analyzed when radioiodine was suspected in the atmosphere. The few such analyses were less than the analytical level.

Analyses for Sr-90 and plutonium were made quarterly on composited filters covering several locations. The results are given in Table 12, with average gross alpha and beta concentrations for comparison. Locations within each group are given in Table 11. The data show that plutonium accounted for less than 1% of the gross alpha activity and Sr-90 for less than 1% of the gross beta activity. The radioactivity measured is believed to be essentially all of natural origin or from regional fallout.

Concentration Guides shown in Tables 11 and 12 were calculated from those given for unknown mixtures in AECM Chapter 0524, Appendix, Annex A, allowing for the specific nuclide data shown in Table 12 and adjusting for population averages. Throughout 1973, the average airborne gross beta concentrations at the Hanford boundary were the same as the more distant sampling locations, indicating that Hanford operations were not contributing significantly to off-site airborne radioactivity.

### Air Quality

Small atmospheric releases of oxides of nitrogen occur intermittently from Hanford process facilities, and coal-fired steam plants on the project release oxides of sulfur and particulates, within the constraints of the Federal Air Quality Standards (See Appendix C).

The Hanford Environmental Health Foundation, as a contractor to the Atomic Energy Commission, routinely measures the concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and suspended particulates in the atmosphere at several off-site locations in the vicinity of Hanford. This data, reported in quarterly summary reports by the Hanford Environmental Health Foundation, are summarized for 1973 in Table 13.

NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> samples were collected using 24-hour sequential samplers operated at ground level monitoring stations. The results are shown in Table 13. The highest average NO<sub>2</sub> concentration for any location was 0.007 ppm, 14% of the Federal Air Quality Standard. All SO<sub>2</sub> sample results were less than the detection level of 0.005 ppm, 20% of the Washington State Standard. Suspended particulates, measured at Richland, varied over a wide range due to heavy dust storms typical of the area. Fluctuations of nitrogen dioxide and particulates were attributed to non-plant sources.

## MILK, FOODS, AND BIOTA

### Radionuclides in Milk

Irrigation with river water containing radionuclides can contribute radioactivity to local milk and locally grown farm produce, as can deposition of airborne materials from Hanford sources and from weapons test fallout.




FIGURE 5: Off-Site Air Sampling Locations



TABLE 11  
 RADIOACTIVITY IN THE AIR - 1973  
 ( $10^{-12}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml)

Analytical Limit Concentration Guide	Gross Alpha				Gross Beta				Iodine - 131						
	No. of Samples	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% C.G.	No. of Samples	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% C.G.	No. of Samples	Max.	Min.	Avg.	% C.G.
Eastern Quadrant	7	.003	.0006	.002	6.6	25	.09	*	.04	.04	26	*	*	*	<1
Othello						24	.10	.02	.04	.04					
Wahluke W.M.						22	.07	.02	.04	.04					
Connell						24	.08	*	.03	.03					
Cooke Bros.	6	.002	.001	.002	6.6	25	.07	.02	.04	.04					
Pasco						41	.76	.02	.05	.05	38	*	*	*	<1
Richland	25	.005	.0004	.001	3.3	26	.11	.02	.05	.05					
Berg Ranch	26	.003	*	.001	3.3	26	.10	.02	.05	.05	26	*	*	*	<1
Ringold	24	.004	*	.001	3.3	26	.10	.02	.05	.05	26	*	*	*	<1
Byers Landing	27	.002	.0006	.001	3.3	26	.08	.02	.05	.05					
Perimeter Communities															
Sunnyside						24	.09	*	.04	.04					
Ellensburg						24	.07	*	.04	.04					
Moses Lake						25	.08	.02	.04	.04					
Washucna						22	.11	.02	.05	.05					
Walla Walla	24	.005	*	.001	3.3	23	.50	.03	.06	.06					
McNary Dam	24	.003	*	.001	3.3	23	.66	.02	.06	.06					
Western Quadrant															
Yakima Barricade	26	.003	.0005	.001	3.3	26	.07	*	.04	.04					
Vernita						26	.10	.02	.05	.05					
Wahluke #2						25	.08	*	.04	.04					
ERC						26	.09	*	.05	.05					
Rattlesnake Spr.						25	.07	.02	.05	.05					
Benton City	24	.003	*	.001	3.3	37	.41	*	.04	.04	38	*	*	*	<1

\*Less than the analytical limit (see Appendix D).  
 No entry indicates no analysis was made.

TABLE 12

AIRBORNE RADIONUCLIDES, QUARTERLY AVERAGES - 1973  
( $10^{-12}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Average Gross Beta</u>	<u>Sr-90</u>	<u>% C.G.</u>	<u>Average Total Alpha</u>	<u>Pu Alpha</u>	<u>% C.G.</u>
Concentration Guide	100	10		.03	.02	
<u>Eastern Quadrant</u>						
January-March	.05	.0004	.004	.002	.00008	.4
April-June	.04	.0009	.009	.002	.00004	.2
July-September	.05	.0002	.002	.002	.00001	.05
October-December	.04	.00002	.0002	.001	.000005	.02
<u>Perimeter Communities</u>						
January-March	.04	.0005	.005	.001	.00006	.3
April-June	.04	.0009	.009	.0009	.00006	.3
July-September	.06	.0001	.001	.002	.000009	.04
October-December	.03	.00003	.0003	.001	.00001	.05

No entry indicates no specific measurement was made.

TABLE 13

AIR QUALITY MEASUREMENTS ANNUAL AVERAGES - 1973

Concentration Guide	<u>NO<sub>2</sub> (ppm)</u>			<u>Suspended Particulates (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</u>				
	.05			60 + Background				
<u>Location</u>	<u>No. of Samples</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>	<u>No. of Samples</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>
Richland (747 Bldg.)					190	2000 <sup>(a)</sup>	13.	250.
Richland (Fed. Bldg.)					64	140.	8.	38.
Opposite Richland (Hobkirk Ranch)	48	.015	<.005	.006				
Opposite N. Richland (Gillum Ranch)	70	.028	<.005	.007				
Opposite 300 Area (Sullivan Ranch)	68	.024	<.002	.007				
Ringold (Keys Ranch)	49	.010	<.002	.005				

No entry indicates no specific measurement was made.

(a) High concentration due to local dust storm.

Chemical separations facilities would generally be the principal local source of airborne radionuclides other than fallout, although unusual radioactivity releases could occur from ventilation stacks of reactor or laboratory facilities.

The milk surveillance program maintained during 1973 included samples from local farms and dairies and from commercial supplies available to the people in the Tri-Cities. The data shows that most isotopes are at or below detection levels and in all cases are less than 1% of the Concentration Guides for water. Figure 7 shows the monthly average concentrations of Zn-65 in milk from river-irrigated farms in the Ringold and Riverview areas for 1969-1973. Zn-65 has ceased to be an isotope of concern since the shutdown of the last single-pass reactors at Hanford in January 1971.

Figure 8 shows the monthly average concentrations of I-131 in locally available milk. During 1973, I-131 concentrations in both farm milk and commercial milk were generally below the analytical limit ( $2 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml). Average I-131 concentrations locally available in farm milk for 1973 (Table 14) were less than 0.2% of the Concentration Guides for water. An occasional increase of I-131 concentrations in some milk samples was attributed to unknown sources.

The concentrations of other fallout nuclides, Sr-90 and Cs-137, in the local environs are usually below the national average because of the low rainfall, since regional fallout from nuclear weapons testing is the primary source of Sr-90 and Cs-137 in milk.

Concentrations of Sr-90 in locally produced farm and commercial milk (Figure 9) were similar in 1973 to those in commercial milk produced in other areas of low rainfall remote from the Hanford plant. The Puget Sound Commercial generally has a higher Sr-90 concentration due to higher rainfall in that milk production area. Sr-90 in local milk averaged 0.7% of the Concentration Guide for water compared to 1.3% in Puget Sound commercial milk. Concentrations of Cs-137 averaged below  $0.2 \times 10^{-9}$   $\mu$ Ci/ml, less than 0.1% of the Concentration Guide for water.






FIGURE 7: Monthly Average P-32 and Zn-65 Concentrations in Milk from River-Irrigated Farms



### Radionuclides in Food

Sampling of meat and produce from local farms and stores continued throughout 1973 with sampling schedules geared to local foodstuff production. Meat was obtained from a local slaughter house, as well as local stores. Leafy vegetables were obtained from Riverview farms in July, August, and September, and from local stores in May through October.

Concentration or daily intake guides for other than Sr-90 and Cs-137 are not specifically available for foods. Calculated doses from concentrations shown in Table 14 and standard diets would be less than 1 mrem to all body organs.

### Radionuclides in Fish, Shellfish, and Gamebirds

Fish in the Columbia River downstream from the single-pass Hanford reactors acquired radionuclides originating with reactor effluent. Historically, whitefish were the fish species caught and consumed locally that usually contained the greatest concentrations of radioactive material, although pan fish species were of greater significance as a source of human exposure due to the difference in quantities consumed.<sup>(14)</sup> Figure 10 and Table 15 show the concentrations of Zn-65 in whitefish samples taken downstream of the Hanford reactors during the period of 1969-1973. For 1973, the average Zn-65 concentration in 21 whitefish samples was less than  $0.2 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ , and the average P-32 concentration was less than  $0.8 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ . The calculated dose to the maximum individual from the consumption of Columbia River fish was less than 1 mrem, not including any contribution from fallout nuclides Sr-90 and Cs-137. Dietary assumptions used in the calculations are given in Appendix E.

Zn-65 is the only radionuclide from Hanford reactor effluents that is found in sufficient abundance in seafoods collected beyond the mouth of the Columbia River to be of significance to human radiation exposure. Oysters have been found to contain higher concentrations of Zn-65 than other common seafoods.<sup>(15)</sup> Monthly average concentrations of Zn-65, periodically measured in oysters grown commercially in the Willapa Bay area, are shown in Figure 11 for the years of 1969-1973. Concentrations of Zn-65 decreased at a rate closely corresponding to its radioactive decay and averaged  $0.57 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$  in ten samples for 1973 (Table 15).




FIGURE 9: Monthly Average Sr-90 Concentrations in Locally Available Milk

TABLE 15  
 AVERAGE RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN MUSCLE OF GAMEBIRDS, FISH, AND SHELLFISH - 1973  
 ( $10^{-6}$   $\mu$ Ci/gm)

Analytical Limit Species	$^{32}\text{P}$			$^{60}\text{Co}$			$^{65}\text{Zn}$			$^{90}\text{Sr}$			$^{137}\text{Cs}$		
	No. of Samples	Max.	Avg.	No. of Samples	Max.	Avg.	No. of Samples	Max.	Avg.	No. of Samples	Max.	Avg.	No. of Samples	Max.	Avg.
Geese (River) (a)	22	0.84	*	23	0.082	*	23	*	*	38	0.017	*	23	0.21	*
Duck (River) (b)	38	0.098	*	38	0.098	*	38	0.65	*	38	0.017	*	38	0.41	*
Pheasant (c)	16	*	*	16	*	*	16	*	*	16	0.019	*	16	*	*
Whitefish	22	0.84	*	22	0.20	*	22	0.31	*	22	<del>0.18</del>	*	22	0.21	*
Oysters (d)				10	0.91	0.22	10	0.91	0.22	10	0.035	0.005	10	0.032	*

(a) Collected in January, November, and December 1973 on the Columbia River within the Hanford boundary.  
 (b) Collected in January, February, November, and December 1973 and January 1974 within 5 km (3 mi.) of the Columbia River and within the Hanford boundary.  
 (c) Collected January, October, November 1973 and January 1974 within the Hanford boundary.  
 (d) The analytical limit for Cs-137 in Oysters is  $0.03 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu$ Ci/gram.  
 \*Less than the analytical detection limit.  
 No entry indicates no analysis was made.






FIGURE 11: Zn-65 Concentrations in Willapa Bay Oysters

Waterfowl and other gamebirds utilizing the river downstream from the reactors may acquire radionuclides as a result of ingestion of insects, algae, vegetation, and water containing these radionuclides. Some waterfowl remain in this general area throughout the year. The concentrations of radionuclides in gamebirds are dependent upon the bird species, the geographical locations of the birds, and their current feeding habits. Data from a dietary survey of Hanford employees and from a special survey of local hunters<sup>(17)</sup> indicate that about 30% of the gamebird meals consumed by local hunters were reported to be birds shot within about 5 km (3 miles) of the Columbia River between Ringold and McNary Dam. The same studies showed that about 30% of all gamebirds taken locally were ducks and geese, and that the average Richland resident consumed 1.4 kg/yr of gamebirds.

The average concentrations of several radionuclides in the muscle (the edible portion) of 71 waterfowl samples collected on the river within the Hanford boundaries for the environmental monitoring program during hunting seasons in 1973 are shown in Table 15. Average radionuclide concentrations in muscle for 16 pheasants collected at the Hanford site also appear in Table 15.

On the average, radionuclide concentrations were similar in ducks, geese, and pheasants. Zn-65 averaged less than  $0.1 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ . Co-60 averaged below the  $0.08 \times 10^{-6}$   $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$  analytical limit.

There are no applicable Concentration Guides with which to compare the gamebird radionuclide concentrations. However, dose estimates, using the previously referenced dietary studies<sup>(14,16,17)</sup> combined with concentration data for the various species, indicated that the dose received by the average Richland resident (adult) from gamebird consumption was less than 1 mrem for 1973.

## SOIL AND VEGETATION

Routine soil and vegetation sampling was performed at 13 stations around the perimeter of the Hanford reservation in 1973. Specific locations are given in Appendix A and shown in Figure 5. Samples of the top inch of soil and native vegetation (perennial) were taken at each of these stations in October 1973 and analyzed for plutonium, uranium, Sr-90 and gamma emitters. The averaged results from all stations are given in Table 16. Individual results, tabulated in BNWL-1811 ADD, showed no particular geographical pattern, and the concentrations measured are believed to be the result of regional fallout.

Gamma emitters in soil samples were measured with a germanium crystal detector, in vegetation samples with a sodium iodide crystal. As a result of the superior energy resolution of the germanium detector, slight differences in the gamma spectra were reported. The bulk of the vegetation was perennial, hence no conclusions should be drawn as to uptake of radionuclides from the soil. The plutonium concentrations are typical of general regional levels for the arid western states. No Concentration Guides exist for nuclides in soil and desert vegetation.

## EXTERNAL RADIATION

### Eastern Quadrant/Perimeter Communities

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD-200)\* are maintained at selected locations to measure the gamma radiation exposure at one meter above ground level. Figure 12 and Table 17 present average gamma exposure rates at a number of downwind (Eastern Quadrant) locations, and for comparison the average of several distant perimeter communities. Comparison of the daily average on an annual basis shows nearly the same value for both Eastern Quadrant locations, adjacent to the Hanford plant (downwind), and the more remote perimeter community locations for 1973.

\* Harshaw Chemical Company,  $\text{CaF}_2(\text{Dy})$

TABLE 16  
RADIONUCLIDES IN SOIL AND VEGETATION - 1973  
 (10<sup>-6</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/gm)

Radionuclide	Soil				Vegetation			
	Analytical Limit	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Analytical Limit	Max.	Min.	Avg.
Co-58	0.03	0.14	*	0.04	0.05	*	*	*
Co-60	0.03	0.14	*	0.04	0.05	*	*	*
Zn-65	0.07	0.16	*	0.06	0.08	1.6	*	0.83
Sr-90	0.002	0.37	0.01	0.13	0.002	0.21	0.02	0.06
ZrNb-95	0.2	0.26	*	*	0.01	0.52	*	0.31
Ru-106	0.4	1.7	*	0.65	0.4	*	*	*
Cs-134	0.03	0.17	*	0.05				
Cs-137	0.03	1.5	*	0.70	0.02	1.9	*	0.50
CePr-144	0.3	1.5	*	0.71	0.4	*	*	*
Ra-224	0.04	2.5	0.88	1.8				
Ra-226	0.06	0.94	0.38	0.60				
Pu-238	0.003	0.006	*	*	0.003	0.004	*	0.001
Pu-239	0.001	0.04	*	0.01	0.001	0.006	*	0.002
Uranium-Nat.	0.015	0.67	0.14	0.37	0.015	0.11	*	0.04

No entry indicates no analysis was made.  
 \*Less than the analytical limit.

TABLE 17  
EXTERNAL RADIATION EXPOSURE RATES - 1973  
 (mR/day)

<u>Location</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>
Perimeter Communities	0.20	0.18	0.18 (66 mR/yr)
Eastern Quadrant	0.20	0.18	0.19 (69 mR/yr)

### Columbia River Shoreline

Estimates of the external radiation dose received from recreational use of the Columbia River in the vicinity of the Hanford project were based on routine measurements at the shoreline at Richland and Sacajawea Park (where the Snake River enters the Columbia) and below the surface of the river at Richland. The exposure rate measured at the shoreline may include components from radioactivity accumulated in sediment deposits and algae growths at the river's edge as well as from any radioactive material in the water. The average exposure rates at the two shoreline locations (Figure 13) were taken from measurements with a 40-liter ionization chamber prior to July 1971, and with a low-level dose rate meter\* subsequently. Measurements were taken one meter back from the water's edge and centered one meter above the ground, which approximates the dose rate to the gonads of a person on the riverbank. Average shoreline exposure rates (Table 18) at these two locations are essentially the same, 0.26 mR/day at Richland and 0.29 mR/day at Sacajawea Park or 95 and 106 mR/year, respectively. The average exposure rate at Vernita, upstream of the Hanford plant, was 0.24 mR/day.

### Immersion

The immersion dose received by Tri-City swimmers is based on April through October exposure rates at Richland (Table 19), and measured with thermoluminescent dosimeters positioned about one meter below the surface of the Columbia River. Measured immersion exposure rates would be primarily due to the gamma emitters in the river. In the vicinity of Richland, the average measured immersion exposure rate for 1973 was 0.11 mR/day with a maximum of 0.13 mR/day. For comparison, the immersion dose measured upstream was 0.15 mR/day.

\* Nuclear Enterprises Model No. 2601




FIGURE 12: Monthly Average Gamma Exposure Rates

### Surface Measurements

Roads and land surfaces in the vicinity of Hanford are periodically surveyed to detect possible radionuclide deposition resulting from Hanford operations and associated activities. Locations of control plots and routes of road and aerial surveys are described in Appendix C, Part IV.

Eleven small areas, called control plots, are located around the Hanford boundaries. These plots, measuring 3m x 3m (10' x 10') are surveyed monthly or semi-monthly with a GM survey meter for deposited radioactive material. No surface radioactivity of Hanford origin was detected on these control plots during 1973.

Public highways 24 and 240, which traverse the Hanford reservation, are surveyed quarterly with a bioplastic scintillation detector attached to the bumper of a truck and positioned about 0.6 meters (2 ft) above the edge of the road surface. This road monitor is described in BNWL-62. <sup>(21)</sup> During 1973, no radioactivity was detected.

Aerial surveys can be used to detect contamination which is spread over a large land area. Like road, rail, and control plot surveys, aerial surveys are only qualitative in nature; but through routine use of this technique, a capability for rapid assessment of an emergency situation is maintained. Aerial surveys are conducted at an altitude of 150 meters (500 ft) using a three-inch by five-inch thallium-activated sodium iodide scintillation crystal detector. Aerial survey flight patterns used during 1973 were:

- (1) near the Hanford project perimeter
- (2) 15-40 air miles beyond the project perimeter
- (3) following the Columbia River from the Vernita Bridge (upstream of the Hanford reactors) downstream to McNary Dam.

No significant changes were seen in previously observed patterns.

A special high-sensitivity aerial survey was also performed by EG & G, Inc., of Las Vegas, using the latest version of the Atomic Energy Commission's Aerial Radiological Monitoring System (ARMS). The system was flown in a U.S. Navy helicopter with an external pod containing 32 three-inch by three-inch sodium iodide detectors in parallel. The position of

the helicopter, as well as gamma spectra, is recorded on magnetic tape and is analyzed on the ground after the flight. Use of the helicopter permits flights at lower ground speeds and at much lower heights (30 to 50 meters above the ground surface), significantly improving detection level and area discrimination for surface contamination.

The system was used to survey Columbia River islands from above the 300 Area downstream to Pasco-Kennewick, and was able to detect residual radionuclides from past Hanford operations at isolated locations on several islands, well above the highest water line since 1972. Subsequent ground surveys of the islands with portable radiation instruments showed a maximum exposure rate of 0.03 mR/hr in two very localized areas, or two to three times background. It is estimated that the more frequent recreational users of the river, such as picnickers, water skiers, and fishermen, would receive less than one (1) mrem per year from this source.

Samples of the sand from the locations with the higher radiation levels showed Co-60 to be predominant, with measurable Mn-54, Eu-152, 154, and Cs-137. This spectrum of nuclides, with the possible exception of Cs-137, is fairly typical of aged effluent activity from the older plutonium production reactors. The radioactivity appeared uniformly distributed in the sand.

Although not contributing significantly to the measured exposure rates, three discrete radioactive metallic particles were detected, buried one to four inches deep in sandy areas showing elevated exposure rates. The particles are believed to have originated in stellite valve seats at one of the Hanford reactors. The only measurable radionuclide was Co-60. Even if disturbed, these particles would afford no significant exposure risk from either inhalation or ingestion, in view of their scarcity, size, and insolubility.

TABLE 18

GAMMA EXPOSURE RATES AT THE COLUMBIA RIVER SHORELINE - 1973

<u>Location</u>	(mR/day)		(mR/yr)	
	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>
Richland	0.34	0.14	0.26	95
Sacajawea Park	0.84	0.19	0.29	106

TABLE 19

COLUMBIA RIVER IMMERSION EXPOSURE RATE - 1973

<u>Location</u>	(mR/day)		
	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Avg.</u>
Coyote Rapids	0.30	0.09	0.15
Richland Pump House	0.13	0.08	0.11

POPULATION DOSE IMPLICATIONS

Since 1958, the major emphasis of the environmental surveillance effort at Hanford has been on the estimation of radiation doses to the surrounding population, with methods detailed in References 1 and 18. Although the major reductions in plant emissions, and especially the elimination of single-pass reactors, have made it more difficult to assess the small remaining dose potential, the evaluation of radiation dose to people is still the basic objective of the environmental evaluation program.

An integrated dose to the population within a 80 km (50 mile) radius from the center of the Hanford site was calculated for Ar-41 released from N-Reactor as this was the largest Hanford release to the atmosphere. By

using the sector-averaged calculated concentration and the average population in the same sector, the population dose was calculated to be 0.4 man-rem from this source.

The population dose from the Columbia River was calculated using the average Richland resident dose from drinking water of less than 1 mrem times the population with the Columbia River as a source of drinking water. This dose was less than 40 man-rem.

For comparison, the population dose due to fallout for the same population is approximately 2000 man-rem, and from the natural background, assumed to be  $\sim 80$  mrem/yr, 20,000 man-rem. Thus, the total population dose due to Hanford is less than 0.3% of the natural plus fallout dose.

Table 20 shows the trend in estimated doses as percent of the standard, for the maximum and the average Richland resident for the period of 1969-1973.

For comparison, Table 21 presents estimates of the calculated radiation dose commitments to area residents resulting from ingestion of radionuclides from regional fallout. For bone dose especially, fallout continued to be much more significant in 1973 than plant sources. Figures 13 and 14 indicate the downward trend of plant origin dose estimates with the stepwise shutdown of the Hanford reactors.

TABLE 20  
COMPARABLE DOSE ESTIMATES<sup>(a)</sup> FOR MAXIMUM AVERAGE  
INDIVIDUAL AND RICHLAND RESIDENT 1969-1973

	Percent of Standard					Standard (mrem/yr)
	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	
<u>Max. Individual</u>						
Bone	9	6	< 1	< 1	< 1	1500
Whole Body	4	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	500
GI Tract	3	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	1500
Thyroid (infant)	4	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	1500
<u>Average Richland Resident</u>						
Bone	3	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	500
Whole Body	2	1	< 1	< 1	< 1	170
GI Tract	4	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	500
Thyroid (infant)	5	2	< 1	< 1	< 1	500

(a) Not including contributions from fallout or natural background radiation.

TABLE 21

RADIATION DOSE COMMITMENTS FROM INGESTION OF FALLOUT NUCLIDES  
AND COMPARISON WITH RADIATION DOSES FROM PLANT SOURCES - 1973(a)

(mrem/yr)

	<u><math>^3\text{H}</math></u>	<u><math>^{90}\text{Sr}</math></u>	<u><math>^{137}\text{Cs}</math></u>	<u>Total From Fallout</u>	<u>Total From Plant Sources</u>
<u>Maximum Individual</u>					
Bone		12	<1	13	3
Whole Body	<1	1	<1 <sup>(b)</sup>	1	2
GI Tract		<1	<1	<1	2
<u>Average Richland Resident</u>					
Bone		8	<1	8	<1
Whole Body	<1	<1	<1 <sup>(b)</sup>	<1	<1
GI Tract		<1	<1	<1	<1

(a) Not including natural radioactivity. The radiation dose commitments shown for bone and whole body represent the dose received over a period of 50 years based on ICRP methods. Only a few percent of the total dose commitment from Sr-90 intake is received during the first year for each of these organs.

(b) For the whole body dose commitment from ingestion of Cs-137 by an adult, the FRC dose conversion factor of 0.06 rem/ $\mu\text{Ci}$  was used. No entry indicates no calculation was made.




FIGURE 13: Monthly Average Gamma Exposure Rates at the Columbia River Shoreline




FIGURE 14: Doses to the GI Tract from Drinking Water

OFF-SITE PLANT EFFLUENT RELEASES

The total liquid and gaseous radionuclide off-site release by all contractors at Hanford are shown in Table 22, for 1973. The results show the significant nuclides, carrier, and point of origin. The tritium in the Columbia River that may be attributed to the Hanford operation was calculated from the differences in the tritium measurements at Vernita and Richland. This may represent a transport of tritium by a groundwater return from Hanford to the Columbia River.

TABLE 22  
PLANT OFFSITE EFFLUENT RELEASES - 1973  
 (Total Curies)

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>Liquid to River 100 Areas</u>	<u>Gaseous 100 Areas</u>	<u>Gaseous 200 Areas</u>	<u>Gaseous 300 Areas</u>	<u>Net Columbia River*</u>
$^3\text{H}$ (HTO)	480.	14			$1.2 \times 10^4$
$^{24}\text{Na}$	125.				
$^{41}\text{Ar}$		$1.0 \times 10^5$			
$^{51}\text{Cr}$	5.5				
$^{54}\text{Mn}$	5.1				
$^{56}\text{Mn}$	150.				
$^{58}\text{Co}$	.405				
$^{59}\text{Fe}$	6.08				
$^{60}\text{Co}$	8.5				
$^{90}\text{Sr}$	0.3	$7.7 \times 10^{-6}$		$4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
$^{95}\text{ZrNb}$	4.04				
$^{99}\text{Mo}$	1.1				
$^{103}\text{Ru}$	0.1				
$^{106}\text{Ru}$	0.8				
$^{131}\text{I}$	2.9	0.22		$7.6 \times 10^{-4}$	
$^{133}\text{I}$		1.2			
$^{133}\text{Xe}$	5.7				
$^{134}\text{Cs}$	0.3				
$^{137}\text{Cs}$	0.45				
$^{140}\text{BaLa}$	7.2				
$^{239}\text{Np}$	40.				
$^{239}\text{Pu}$				$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$	
$^{241}\text{Am}$				$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	
Pu-Alpha		$8.1 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.34 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	
Nat U-Alpha				$3.6 \times 10^{-6}$	

\*Difference between Vernita and Richland measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As indicated in the text, sanitary water and air quality data for this report were provided by the Hanford Environmental Health Foundation. T. D. Sullivan of that organization was especially helpful in the presentation of these data.


REFERENCES

1. Evaluation of Radiological Conditions in the Vicinity of Hanford for 1969, edited by C. B. Wilson and T. H. Essig, BNWL-1505, Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington, November 1970.
2. "Effluent and Environmental Monitoring and Reporting," AEC Manual, Chapter 0513, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, March 1972, Revised February 1974.
3. Environmental Surveillance at Hanford for 1972, edited by P. E. Bramson and J. P. Corley, BNWL-1727 ADD (Data Tables), Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington, April 1973.
4. "Standards for Radiation Protection," AEC Manual, Chapter 0524, with Appendix. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington D.C., 1963. Revised October 1973.
5. "Natural Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards," Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 50, Environmental Protection Agency, January 1973.
6. Water Quality Standards, Department of Ecology, State of Washington, June 1973.
7. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 12th Edition, American Public Health Association, New York, New York, 1965.
8. "Continuous Sampling and Ultra-Microdetermination of Nitrogen Dioxide in Air," Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1958.
9. Selected Methods for the Measurement of Air Pollutants, Public Health Service, Washington D.C., May 1965.
10. Stranetz, Bent, and Bartley, Public Health Report 70:67, Public Health Service, Washington D.C., 1955.
11. Weekly Runoff Reports - Pacific Northwest Water Resources (1973), U. S. Department of the Interior, U.S.G.S., Northwest Water Resources Data Center, Portland, Oregon, 1973.
12. Drinking Water Standards - 1962, Public Health Service, Washington D.C. 1962.
13. K. L. Kipp, Radiological Status of the Groundwater Beneath the Hanford Project - July-December 1972, BNWL-1752, Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington.

14. J. F. Honstead, T. M. Beetle, and J. K. Soldat, A Statistical Study of the Habits of Local Fishermen and its Application to Evaluation of Environmental Dose, Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington, October 1971.
15. A. H. Seymour and G. B. Lewis, Radionuclides of Columbia River Origin in Marine Organisms, Sediments, and Water Collected from the Coastal and Off-Shore Waters of Washington and Oregon, 1961-1963, UWFL-86, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, December 10, 1964.
16. J. K. Soldat, Unpublished Game Bird Data. Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington. (Report in preparation).
17. T. H. Essig and J. P. Corley, Criteria Used to Estimate Radiation Doses Received by Persons Living in the Vicinity of Hanford: Interim Report No. 2, BNWL-1019, Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington. April 1969.
18. C. E. Cushing and L. H. Rancitelli, "Trace Element Analyses of Columbia River Water and Phytoplankton (p. 68-69)," Northwest Science, Vol. 46, No. 2, 1972.
19. Sulfur Oxide Standards, Chapter 18-56, Washington State Department of Ecology (April 1970).
20. Hanford Mechanical Standards, HWS 10001, Atomic Energy Commission, Richland, Washington (1965).
21. L. L. Philipp and E. M. Sheen, Aerial and Ground Gamma Survey Monitors, BNWL-62, Battelle-Northwest, Richland, Washington (May 1965).

APPENDIX A

ROUTINE MONITORING SCHEDULE



APPENDIX AROUTINE MONITORING SCHEDULEFrequency Symbols Used

D - Daily	SM - Semi-monthly
W - Weekly	M Comp. - Monthly Composite
BW - Bi-weekly	Q - Quarterly
SW - Semi-weekly	A - Annually
M - Monthly	SA - Semi-annually
BM - Bi-monthly	

I. WATER SAMPLESA. Columbia River Raw Integrated

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Richland	W	Beta, gamma scan
	BW Comp.	I-131
	M Comp.	H-3, P-32, Sr-90, Alpha
	Q	Pu, Zn-65, Cs-137
Bonneville Dam	M Comp.	Zn-65, Sc-46

B. Columbia River Raw Grab

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Vernita	W	pH, Diss. O <sub>2</sub> , Turbidity
	M	Coliform, Enterococci, BOD
	W	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>
	M Comp.	H-3, Sr-90, Alpha
	Q	Pu, Cs-137
300 Area	D	pH, DO, Turbidity
	Continuous	Cr <sup>+6</sup>
North Richland	M	Coliform, Enterococci, BOD
Richland	W	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>
	Continuous	Temperature

C. Sanitary Water Integrated

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Richland	W	Alpha, Beta, Gamma scan
	M Comp.	Sr-90
D. <u>Groundwater</u>	W	Coliform, Cr <sup>+6</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>

<u>Location</u>		
Webber Ranch	SA	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , H-3
W-15	SA	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , H-3
White Bluffs	SA	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , H-3
Vail Ranch	SA	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , H-3
Hildebrandt	SA	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , H-3

II. Air SamplesA. Radioactivity

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Yakima Barricade	BW	Beta, alpha
Vernita Bridge	BW	Beta
ERC	BW	Beta
Rattlesnake Springs	BW	Beta
Benton City	BW	Beta, alpha
Wahluke Slope #2	BW	Beta
Berg Ranch	BW	Beta, alpha
Othello	BW	Beta, alpha
Connell	BW	Beta
New Moon	BW	Beta
Wahluke Water Master	BW	Beta
Eltopia	BW	Beta
Ringold	BW	Beta, alpha
Byers Landing	BW	Beta, alpha
Pasco	BW	Beta, alpha
Kennewick	BW	Beta
Richland	BW	Beta, alpha
Sunnyside	BW	Beta

A. Radioactivity (Continued)

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Ellensburg	BW	Beta
Moses Lake	BW	Beta
Washtucna	BW	Beta
Walla Walla	BW	Beta, alpha
Moses Lake	BW	Beta, alpha

B. Air Quality

<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Richland	D BW	NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> Particulate
Opposite Richland	D	NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>
Opposite N. Richland	D	NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>
Opposite 300 Area	D	NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>
Ringold	D	NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>

III. MILK AND HAY\*A. Commercial Sources

<u>Milk</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Lucerne	Tri-City	M Q	I-131, gamma scan Sr-90
Darigold- Arden	Tri-City	SM Q	I-131 Sr-90

---

\* Current cattle forage, hay, or pasture grass is routinely collected from all farms where milk is sampled, but analyses are made only on special request by Environmental Evaluation Section, BNW.

B. Farm Sources

<u>Milk</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Rhoades (raw)	Benton City	BW Q	I-131, gamma scan Sr-90
Col. Basin Comp.	Esser, Ribison, Bleazard	BW Q	I-131, gamma scan Sr-90
Col. Basin #3 (raw)	Taylor, New Moon, Monson	BW	I-131, gamma scan
West Rich- land, Benton City Comp. (raw)	Dinneen, Atterberry	BW	I-131, gamma scan
River- Irrigated (Ditch)	Harris (River- view)	BW Q	I-131, gamma scan Sr-89, Sr-90
River- Irrigated (Sprinkler)	Hall (River- view)	BW Q	I-131, gamma scan Sr-89, Sr-90

IV. FISH AND GAMEBIRDSA. Whitefish (Muscle)

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Whitefish  (5 fish/sample)	Ringold to Richland	SM Comp.	Gamma scan, P-32

B. River Ducks

80 birds/yr	100-K to Ringold	Oct - Jan.	Sr-90, gamma scan (muscle)
-------------	------------------	------------	-------------------------------

C. River Geese

20 birds/yr	100-K to Ringold	Oct - Jan.	Gamma scan (muscle)
-------------	------------------	------------	---------------------

D. Pheasant

20 birds/yr	100-K to 300 Area	Oct - Jan.	Sr-90, gamma scan (muscle)
-------------	----------------------	------------	-------------------------------

V. FOODSTUFFSA. Meat, Chicken, Eggs

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Farm meat, lean beef	Riverview	M	Gamma scan
	(river irr.)	Q	Sr-90
Commercial meat, lean beef	Pasco Meat Packers	M	Sr-90, gamma scan
*Farm eggs	Harris	M	Gamma scan
	(Riverview)	Q	Sr-90
*Farm eggs	Olsen	M	Gamma scan
	(Riverview)	Q	Sr-90
*Farm eggs	Kinne	M	
	(Ringold)	Q	Sr-90
*Farm Chicken	Harris	Q	Sr-90, gamma scan
	(Riverview)		
*Farm Chicken	Kinne	Q	Sr-90, gamma scan
	(Ringold)		

B. <u>Produce</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Frequency**</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Commercial leafy veg. comp.	Tri-City	M	Gamma scan,
		Q	Sr-89, Sr-90
Farm leafy veg. comp.	Riverview	M	Gamma scan,
		Q	Sr-90
Farm leafy veg. comp.	Benton City	2 samples/yr	Gamma scan Sr-90

C. Oysters

	Willipa Bay	M	Gamma scan
--	-------------	---	------------

---

\* Sample from only one source in any one time period.

\*\* During growing season, May - October only.

VI. SOIL AND VEGETATION

	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
A. Benton City	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
B. ERC	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan. Pu-239
C. Rt. 240, CP #54	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
D. Rattlesnake Springs	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
E. Yakima Barricade	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
F. Vernita Bridge, north end	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
G. Wahluke Slope #2	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
H. Berg Ranch	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
I. Ringold	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
J. Byers Pumphouse	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
K. Byers Landing	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
L. Pasco, CP # 55	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239
M. North Richland, CP #56	A	U, Sr-90, Gamma scan, Pu-239


VII. RIVER MUD

Not currently in schedule. Data obtained from another source.

VIII. GAMMA EXPOSURE RATE

	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
A. <u>Columbia River</u> Vernita	M	Integrated gamma Immersion dose-TLD
Richland Pumphouse	M	Integrated gamma Immersion dose-TLD

B. <u>Columbia River Shoreline</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Measurement</u>
Vernita	M	Exposure rate contamination survey
Richland Pumphouse above water plant	W	Exposure rate contamination survey
Sacajawea	BW	Exposure rate contamination survey
C. <u>Land</u>		
TLD		
All air sample locations	M	Integrated gamma dose
2. Stray Radiation Chambers		
700 Area	SW	Integrated gamma dose
D. <u>Continuous Radiation Monitors</u>		
300 Area	Continuous	Gamma dose rate - Water surface
300 Area	Continuous	I-131 concentration in river
IX. <u>SURFACE CONTAMINATION</u>		
A. <u>Control Plots</u>		
Wahluke Slope; nine between Vernita Bridge and Ringold		GM
Pasco - One		GM
State Highway 240 - One southwest of 200 Separations Area		GM
B. <u>Road Survey</u>		
Hanford Highway, Horn Rapids to Yakima Barricade & Rt. 240	Q	Radiation contamination Bioplastic crystal
300 Area to Prosser Barricade	Q	Radiation contamination Bioplastic crystal
North Richland	Q	Radiation contamination Bioplastic crystal
C. <u>Aerial Survey</u>		
Project Perimeter	SA	
Richland - Ellensburg- Ritzville Triangle	SA	
Columbia River, Vernita Bridge, McNary Dam	SA	



APPENDIX B  
ROUTINE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES



APPENDIX BROUTINE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURESAir

Alpha - Direct count of filter on gas flow alpha proportional counter.

Beta - Direct count of filter on gas flow beta proportional counter.

Sr-90 - Leach with HCl, fuming nitric precipitation, barium scavenge, carbonate precipitation, count with gas flow beta proportional counter.

Plutonium - Leach with HCl, precipitation with hydrofluoric acid, extraction with TTA in presence of aluminum nitrate and sodium nitrite, electrodeposition and alpha track counting on NTA film.

Gamma Emitters - Direct count of filter with sodium iodide well crystal.  
Routine radionuclides: Zr-Nb-95, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ru-Rh-106, Ce-Pr-144.

Iodine-131 - (a) Direct gamma count for iodine-131 on charcoal sample in sodium iodide well crystal.  
(b) Precipitation with silver iodide from caustic solution.  
Direct count with beta proportional counter.

Nitrogen Dioxide - Collection with alkaline solution bubbler.  
Colorometric determination as azo dye, using sulfanilimide method.<sup>(8)</sup>

Sulfur Dioxide - Collection with bubbler solution of sodium tetrachloromercurate, with colorometric determination.<sup>(9)</sup>

Particulates - Collection on Gelman Type A glass fiber filter, with direct weighing for particulate loading.

Water

Alpha - Extraction with diethylether. Count dried residue with gas flow alpha proportional count.

Beta - Count dried residue with gas flow beta proportional counter.

Tritium - After distillation, direct count with liquid scintillation spectrometer.

Phosphorus-32 - Ammonium phosphomolybdate precipitate and gas flow beta proportional counter.

Strontium-90 - Same as for air filters.

Iodine-131 - Same as for Air, Iodine-131 (b).

Uranium - Direct fluorometric measurement

Plutonium - Same as for air filters.

Gamma-Emitters - Same as for air filters. Routine radionuclides:

Sc-46, Cr-51, Co-60, Zn-65, Cs-137.

Coliforms - (a) Membrane filter method,<sup>(7)</sup>

(b) Multiple tube fermentation method---48-hour test.<sup>(7)</sup>

Enterococci - Membrane filter method.<sup>(10)</sup>

B.O.D. - 5-Day B.O.D. procedure<sup>(7)</sup>

pH - Direct pH meter measurement.

Turbidity - Direct turbidimeter measurement

Dissolved Oxygen - Direct measurement with polarographic probe.

Nitrate Ion - Phenylsulfonic method.<sup>(7)</sup>

Hexavalent Chromium - Continuous automated analyzer measurement

(Technicon Autoanalyzer), based on diphenylcarbazide colorimetric method.<sup>(7)</sup>

### Milk

Phosphorus-32 - Ashed, precipitated as ammonium phosphomolybdate, reprecipitated as magnesium ammonium phosphate, beta counted with gas flow beta proportional counter.

Strontium-90 - Ashed, then same as for air filters.

Iodine-131 - Ion exchange whole milk sample. Resin direct gamma counted in sodium iodide well crystal.

Gamma Emitters - Same as for air. Routine radionuclides: K-40, Zn-65, Cs-137, I-131

### Solid Foodstuffs and Vegetation

Phosphorus-32 - Same as for milk.

Strontium-90 - Same as for milk.

Plutonium - Ashed, HCl leach, ion exchange, TTA extraction in presence of aluminum nitrate and sodium nitrite, alpha spectroscopy.

Gamma Emitters - Same as for air filters. Routine radionuclides:


Co-60, K-40, Zn-65, Co-58, Mn-54, Cs-137, I-131.

Soil

Strontium-90 - HCl leach, then same as for air filters.

Plutonium - HCl leach, then same as for Solid Foodstuffs and  
Vegetation.

Gamma Emitters - Same as for air filters. Routine radionuclides:  
K-40, Cs-137



APPENDIX C

APPLICABLE STANDARDS



APPENDIX C

## APPLICABLE STANDARDS

I. Extracts from Washington State Water Quality Standards for Interstate Waters : (6)" A. Water Quality Criteria1. Class A Excellent

## a. General Characteristics

Water quality of this class exceeds or meets the requirements for all or substantially all uses.

## b. Characteristic uses

Characteristic uses include, but are not limited to, the following:

Water supply (domestic, industrial, agricultural)

Wildlife habitat, stock watering

General recreation and aesthetic enjoyment (picnicking, hiking, fishing, swimming, skiing and boating)

Commerce and navigation

Fish and shellfish reproduction, rearing and harvest

## c. Water Quality Standards

Total Coliform Organisms shall not exceed median values of 240 (FRESH WATER) with less than 20% of samples exceeding 1,000 when associated with any fecal source or 70 (MARINE WATER) with less than 10% of samples exceeding 230 when associated with any fecal source.

Dissolved Oxygen shall exceed 8.0 mg/l (FRESH WATER) or 6.0 mg/l (MARINE WATER).

Temperature No measurable increases shall be permitted within the waters designated which result in water temperatures exceeding 65°F (FRESH WATER) or 61°F (MARINE WATER) nor shall the cumulative total of all such increases arising from nonnatural causes be permitted in excess of  $t = 90/(T-19)$  (FRESH WATER) or  $t = 40/(T-35)$  (MARINE WATER); for purposes hereof "t" represents the permissive increase and "T" represents the resulting water temperature.

1. Class A Excellent (Continued)

c. Water Quality Standards (Continued)

pH shall be within the range of 6.5 to 8.5 (FRESH WATER) or 7.8 to 8.5 (MARINE WATER) with an induced variation of less than 0.25 units.

Turbidity shall not exceed 5 JTU (Jackson Turbidity Units - Standard Candle) over natural conditions

Toxic, Radioactive or Deleterious Material Concentrations shall be below those of public health significance, or which may cause acute or chronic toxic conditions to the aquatic biota, or which may adversely affect any water use.

Aesthetic Values shall not be impaired by the presence of materials or their effects, excluding those of natural origin, which offend the senses of sight, smell, touch or taste.

B. Classification

Interstate and coastal waters of the State of Washington are classified as follows:

1. Columbia River Class A

Washington-Oregon border (River Mile 309) to Grand Coulee Dam (River Mile 595)

Temperature No measurable increases shall be permitted within the waters designated which result in water temperatures exceeding 68°F nor shall the cumulative total of all such increases arising from nonnatural causes be permitted in excess of  $t = 110/(T-15)$ ; for purposes hereof "t" represents the permissive increase and "T" represents the resulting water temperature. "

## II. Air and Sanitary Water Quality Standards

### A. Air Quality Standards

1. Sulfur dioxide: 24-hour average: 0.10 ppm  
Annual Average: 0.02 ppm

Ref: Washington State Department of Ecology<sup>(19)</sup>  
Chapter 18-56, Sulfur Oxide Standards, April 1970.

2. Nitrogen dioxide: Annual arithmetic mean  $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ; 24 hour average - not to exceed  $250 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  more than once per year.

Ref: Environmental Protection Agency<sup>(5)</sup>

3. Suspended particulates: annual mean - concentration not to exceed 60 micrograms per cubic meter of air (less background east of the Cascades).

Ref: Washington State Department of Ecology<sup>(19)</sup>  
Chapter 18-40, Suspended Particulate Standards,  
April 1970.

### B. Bacteriological and Chemical Quality Criteria for Sanitary Water

1. Bacteriological quality - no coliform organisms shall be present in any sanitary water samples.

Ref: Hanford Standard HW-4966-S<sup>(20)</sup>

2. Chemical Quality

- a. Fluorides: with a mean average of maximum daily air temperatures =  $64.8^\circ\text{F}$  for this area, the recommended control limit is 1.2 mg/liter. A concentration of greater than 1.4 mg/liter shall constitute grounds for rejection of the water supply.

Ref: Public Health Service<sup>(12)</sup>

- b. Nitrates: 45 mg/liter

Ref: Public Health Service<sup>(12)</sup>

- c. Mercury: No current standards exist for allowable mercury content in sanitary water. However, 5.0 ppb is an accepted limit pending revision of Public Health Service Drinking Water Standards.

### III. RADIATION STANDARDS

The basic radiation standards for population dose in use at Hanford are given in the following extract from AEC Manual Chapter 0524, Appendix:<sup>(4)</sup>

" TABLE II: Radiation Protection Standards for External and Internal Exposure

<u>Type of Exposure</u>	<u>Annual Dose or Dose Commitment (rem)</u>	
	<u>Based on Dose to Critical Individuals at Points of Maximum Probable Exposure</u>	<u>Based on an Average Dose to a Suitable Sample of the Exposed Population<sup>(1)</sup></u>
Whole body, gonads, or bone marrow	0.5	0.17
Other organs <sup>(2)</sup>	1.5	0.5

(1) See Paragraph 5.4, FRC Report No. 1, for discussion on concept of suitable sample of exposed population.

(2) An acceptable alternate standard for bone for individuals is the ICRP standard of 0.003  $\mu\text{g}$  of radium-226 or its biological equivalent. The alternate standard for populations would be one-third this ICRP standard."

[Concentration Guides for air and water, given in Table II of the Appendix, also apply to maximum exposures to individuals.]

APPENDIX D

ANALYTICAL LIMITS



APPENDIX DANALYTICAL LIMITS


Routine environmental radioanalyses for the Hanford program are performed by the U. S. Testing Company, Richland, Washington. Analytical limits are specified in a services contract between U. S. Testing and the Atomic Energy Commission. The term "analytical limit" is defined as the concentration at which the laboratory can measure a radionuclide with an accuracy (bias-precision composite) of  $\pm 100\%$  at the 90% confidence level. The detection limit for a specific radionuclide varies with sample type, sample size, counting time, and amounts of interfering radionuclides present. The "analytical limits" given represent upper bounds to these fluctuating detection limits.

The following rule has been applied for determining statistical detection levels for averaged data:

$$A.L._{ave} = \frac{A.L._i}{\sqrt{n}}$$

i.e., the laboratory analytical level is divided by the square root of the number of averaged results to obtain the estimated analytical level for the average, with the same confidence level and precision.

It is recognized that the value obtained will be approximate, since rigorously the variance of each result should be pooled. However, no simple method is available for estimating the variance of the average. The rule is applicable only when the actual net result is available for each sample including negative values. If the result is given only as less than some pre-determined value, it is not applicable.



APPENDIX E  
DIETARY ASSUMPTIONS



APPENDIX EDIETARY ASSUMPTIONS

<u>Foodstuffs</u>	<u>Maximum Individual</u>	<u>Average (Adult) Richland Resident</u>
Water	730 ℓ/yr	680 ℓ/yr <sup>(a)</sup>
Milk	380 ℓ/yr	130 ℓ/yr <sup>(a)</sup>
Meat	80 kg/yr	74 kg/yr <sup>(a)</sup>
Chicken	8 kg/yr	5.4 kg/yr
Eggs	30 kg/yr	15 kg/yr
Seafood	0	1.4 kg/yr <sup>(a,b)</sup>
Col. River Fish	40 kg/yr	0.48 kg/yr <sup>(a)</sup>
Game Birds	0	1.2 kg/yr <sup>(a)</sup>
Leafy Veggies.	73 kg/yr <sup>(c)</sup>	36.5 kg/yr
Other Veggies. & Fruits	530 kg/yr <sup>(c)</sup>	200 kg/yr

<u>Foodstuffs</u>	<u>Maximum Individual</u>	<u>Average (Infant) Richland Resident</u>
Water	0.8 ℓ/day	0.4 ℓ/day
Milk	1.0 ℓ/day	0.6 ℓ/day
Leafy Veggies.	50 g/day	25 g/day

- (a) Based on dietary questionnaires of Richland residents employed at Hanford.
- (b) One-tenth of the total is assumed to be Willapa Bay oysters, the remainder free of radionuclides of Hanford origin.
- (c) Fresh produce from the Riverview area is assumed to be available only during five months of the year.



No. of  
CopiesOff-Site

- 1 AEC Chicago Patent Group  
A. A. Churm
- 1 AEC Office of Biomedical,  
Environmental Research, and  
Safety Programs  
J. L. Liverman
- 1 AEC Directorate of Reg. Oper.  
Region V  
211 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, Calif. 94707  
R. Smith
- 1 AEC Division of Reactor  
Development & Technology  
Asst. Dir. for Nuclear Safety  
A. T. Pressesky
- 261 AEC Technical Information  
Center
- 1 AEC Idaho Operations  
Operational Safety Division  
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401  
R. E. Tiller
- 1 AEC Headquarters Library  
Charles Sherman
- 5 AEC Division of Operational  
Safety  
M. R. Biles
- 1 AEC Division of Military  
Application  
Gordon Facer
- 2 AEC Division of Production  
G. B. Pleat  
E. F. Greenleaf
- 1 AEC Nevada Operations Office  
Office of Safety  
P. O. Box 14100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114  
W. J. Larkin

No. of  
CopiesOff-Site

- 5 Environmental Protection Agency  
Region X  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
E. Cowan
- 1 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico  
H. S. Jordan
- 1 Oregon State Department of  
Environmental Quality  
1234 SW Morrison  
Portland, Oregon 97205  
L. B. Day, Director
- 2 Oregon State Health Division  
P. O. Box 231  
Portland, Oregon 97207  
G. Toombs  
M. W. Parratt
- 1 Washington State Department of  
Social & Health Services  
1514 Smith Tower  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
R. R. Mooney
- 1 Washington State Department of  
Social & Health Services  
P. O. Box 1788, MS 56-1  
Olympia, Washington 98504  
C. Lewis
- 3 Washington State Department of  
Ecology  
Olympia, Washington 98501  
J. A. Biggs  
G. Hansen  
J. Raymond
- 1 Yakima County Health District  
City Hall  
Yakima, Washington 98901  
Health Officer
- 1 Benton-Franklin Health Center  
Pasco, Washington 99301  
V. E. Michael

No. of  
CopiesNo. of  
CopiesOff-Site (Con't)

- 1 City of Richland  
Water & Sewer Department  
505 Swift Blvd.  
Richland, Washington 99352  
K. R. Engstrom

- 1 Hanford Environmental Health  
Foundation

R. G. Anderson

- 1 J. A. Jones Construction Co.

L. C. Roos

- 1 U. S. Testing Company, Inc.

W. V. Baumgartner

- 3 Westinghouse Hanford Company

R. O. Budd

G. D. Carpenter

R. B. Hall

On-Site Hanford

- 1 AEC/RL Patent Attorney

R. M. Poteat

- 14 AEC Richland Operations Office

O. J. Elgert

P. G. Holsted

L. F. Perkins

P. G. Rhoades

R. B. St. John (10)

- 9 Atlantic Richfield Hanford  
Company

G. E. Backman

D. J. Brown

G. L. Hanson

W. M. Harty

Keith Price

C. W. Malody

B. J. McMurray

G. C. Oberg

ARHCO Files

- 8 United Nuclear, Inc.

J. T. Baker

L. V. Barker

R. E. Dun

C. D. Corbit (2)

N. R. Miller

L. H. Munson

UNI File

No. of  
Copies

88 Battelle-Northwest

W. J. Bair  
P. J. Blumer  
R. E. Burns  
L. A. Carter  
J. P. Corley (50)  
C. E. Cushing  
G. M. Dalen  
J. R. Eliason  
R. F. Foster  
K. R. Heid  
W. T. Hinds  
J. J. Jech  
K. L. Kipp  
L. J. Kirby  
H. V. Larson  
M. W. Leale  
W. L. Nees  
J. M. Nielsen  
T. P. O'Farrell  
D. B. Olesen  
H. M. Parker  
E. H. Phinney  
R. W. Perkins  
W. H. Rickard  
W. D. Richmond  
J. M. Selby  
C. L. Simpson  
J. H. Soehnlein  
J. K. Soldat  
C. M. Unruh  
B. E. Vaughan  
D. A. Waite  
D. G. Watson  
Technical Publications  
Technical Information (5)

